



**FINAL MINUTES
CAPITOLA PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING
Thursday, March 4, 2021
7 P.M. – CAPITOLA CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

1. ROLL CALL AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chair Routh called the meeting to order at 7 P.M. Commissioners Christiansen, Newman, Westman, Wilk, and Chair Routh were present remotely.

2. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

A. Additions and Deletions to Agenda

Item 4c was pulled from the agenda. Director Herlihy informed the Commission that temporary uses are approved administratively under the new code.

B. Public Comments

C. Commission Comments

Commissioner Newman stated he would like to have a discussion on the issue of compliance with Capitola's sign ordinance. Chair Routh stated they can discuss the matter on item 6 as a commissioner comment.

D. Staff Comments

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION: Approve January 21, 2021 and February 4, 2021 minutes.

RESULT:	APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Peter Wilk
SECONDER:	Ed Newman
AYES:	Courtney Christiansen, Ed Newman, Susan Westman, Peter Wilk, Mick Routh

4. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. 205 El Salto Drive

#20-0506

APN: 036-131-19

Design Permit for first- and second-story additions and a new basement for an existing nonconforming single-family residence with variances for the required parking space dimensions, landscape strip in the front setback, and to exceed the nonconforming structural alteration limit located within the R-1 (Single-Family Residential) zoning district. This project is in the Coastal Zone and requires a Coastal Development Permit which is appealable to the California Coastal Commission after all possible appeals are exhausted through the City.

Environmental Determination: Categorical Exemption

Property Owner: Kim & Kevin Menninger

Representative: Kim & Kevin Menninger, Filed: 12.08.2020

Associate Planner Orbach presented the staff report.

Commissioner Wilk asked if a tree shown on the last slide of the presentation will remain. Associate Planner Orbach stated that a tree was removed from the rear lot a year ago and another tree was replanted. No trees will be removed because of this project.

Commissioner Newman sought confirmation from staff that the addition will not increase the nonconforming aspects of the home, and that it will comply with the new ordinance. Associate Planner Orbach stated that is correct.

Commissioner Newman asked staff who was responsible for placing “No Parking” signs in front of the house. Associate Planner Orbach answered that he was unaware of these signs in front of the residence.

Kevin Menninger, the applicant, stated the “No Parking” signs belonged to his neighbor, and it is common for residents in the area to place such signs in front of their homes.

Commissioner Newman stated that the proposed parking spaces are problematic because the spaces are small. Given the lack of available parking in the area, he would like to add a condition that “No Parking” signs shall not be installed in front of the property.

Commissioner Westman concurred with Commissioner Newman. She also noted her concern in granting variances that do not improve the community. She believes parking will become a greater issue as more ADUs are built, and would like the Commission to exercise caution in allowing exceptions to the recently adopted zoning ordinance.

Chair Routh stated it may be prudent to consider abandoning unused right of ways to prevent future variance requests.

Commissioner Christiansen stated that a color and materials board would be helpful in gaining a better idea of the proposed design, and she prefers its inclusion in the presentation. Commissioner Westman concurred with Commissioner Christiansen that staff should require applicants to submit a “Color and Materials Board” for future project applications.

MOTION: Approve the design permit with the variance, and the coastal development permit with the following conditions and findings.

CONDITIONS

1. The project approval consists of the construction first- and second-story additions and a new basement for an existing nonconforming single-family residence. The approval includes variances for substandard parking space dimensions, no landscape strip in the front setback, and to exceed the nonconforming structural alteration limit. The total FAR of the project is 54% with a total of 1,321 square feet, compliant with the maximum FAR within the zone. The proposed project is approved as indicated on the final plans reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on March 4, 2021, except as modified through conditions imposed by the Planning Commission during the hearing.
2. Prior to construction, a building permit shall be secured for any new construction or modifications to structures authorized by this permit. Final building plans shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Planning Commission. All construction and site improvements shall be completed according to the approved plans
3. At time of submittal for building permit review, the Conditions of Approval must be printed in full on the cover sheet of the construction plans.

4. At time of submittal for building permit review, Public Works Standard Detail SMP STRM shall be printed in full and incorporated as a sheet into the construction plans. All construction shall be done in accordance with the Public Works Standard Detail BMP STRM.
5. Prior to making any changes to approved plans, modifications must be specifically requested and submitted in writing to the Community Development Department. Any significant changes to the size or exterior appearance of the structure shall require Planning Commission approval.
6. Prior to issuance of building permit, a landscape plan shall be submitted and approved by the Community Development Department. The landscape plan can be produced by the property owner, landscape professional, or landscape architect. Landscape plans shall reflect the Planning Commission approval and shall identify type, size, and location of species and details of any proposed (but not required) irrigation systems.
7. Prior to issuance of building permit, all Planning fees associated with permit #20-0506 shall be paid in full.
8. Prior to issuance of building permit, the developer shall pay Affordable housing in-lieu fees as required to assure compliance with the City of Capitola Affordable (Inclusionary) Housing Ordinance.
9. Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant must provide documentation of plan approval by the following entities: Santa Cruz County Sanitation Department, Soquel Creek Water District, and Central Fire Protection District.
10. Prior to issuance of building permits, a drainage plan, grading, sediment and erosion control plan, shall be submitted to the City and approved by Public Works. The plans shall be in compliance with the requirements specified in Capitola Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 Storm Water Pollution Prevention and Protection.
11. Prior to issuance of building permits, the applicant shall submit a stormwater management plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works which implements all applicable Post Construction Requirements (PCRs) and Public Works Standard Details, including all standards relating to low impact development (LID).
12. Prior to any land disturbance, a pre-site inspection must be conducted by the grading official to verify compliance with the approved erosion and sediment control plan.
13. Prior to any work in the City road right of way, an encroachment permit shall be acquired by the contractor performing the work. No material or equipment storage may be placed in the road right-of-way.
14. During construction, any construction activity shall be subject to a construction noise curfew, except when otherwise specified in the building permit issued by the City. Construction noise shall be prohibited between the hours of nine p.m. and seven-thirty a.m. on weekdays. Construction noise shall be prohibited on weekends with the exception of Saturday work between nine a.m. and four p.m. or emergency work approved by the building official. §9.12.010B

15. Prior to a project final, all cracked or broken driveway approaches, curb, gutter, or sidewalk shall be replaced per the Public Works Standard Details and to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department. All replaced driveway approaches, curb, gutter or sidewalk shall meet current Accessibility Standards.
16. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, compliance with all conditions of approval shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director. Upon evidence of non-compliance with conditions of approval or applicable municipal code provisions, the applicant shall remedy the non-compliance to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director or shall file an application for a permit amendment for Planning Commission consideration. Failure to remedy a non-compliance in a timely manner may result in permit revocation.
17. This permit shall expire 24 months from the date of issuance. The applicant shall have an approved building permit and construction underway before this date to prevent permit expiration. Applications for extension may be submitted by the applicant prior to expiration pursuant to Municipal Code §17.81.160.
18. The planning and infrastructure review and approval are transferable with the title to the underlying property so that an approved project may be conveyed or assigned by the applicant to others without losing the approval. The permit cannot be transferred off the site on which the approval was granted.
19. Upon receipt of certificate of occupancy, garbage and recycling containers shall be placed out of public view on non-collection days.
20. Prior to issuance of building permits, the building plans must show that the existing overhead utility lines will be underground to the nearest utility pole.
21. 'No parking' signs shall be prohibited at the front of the property and in the public right-of-way in front of the property.

DESIGN PERMIT FINDINGS

A. The project, subject to the conditions imposed, secures the purposes of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan, and Local Coastal Plan.

Community Development Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the project. The proposed first- and second-story additions and a new basement for an existing nonconforming single-family residence comply with the development standards of the R-1 (Single-Family Residential) District. The project secures the purpose of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan, and Local Coastal Plan

B. The project will maintain the character and integrity of the neighborhood.

Community Development Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the application for first- and second-story additions and a new basement for an existing nonconforming single-family residence. The design of the home with a mix of horizontal and shingle siding and the second-story massing located at the rear of the existing nonconforming single-family residence will fit in nicely with the existing neighborhood. The project will maintain the character and integrity of the neighborhood.

VARIANCE FINDINGS

A. Special circumstances applicable to the subject property, including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings, exist on the site and the strict application of this title is found to deprive subject property of privileges enjoyed by other properties in the vicinity and under identical zone classification;

The subject property is relatively small by Capitola standards (2,439 square feet) with a narrow street frontage (30 feet), while the average front property width on Depot Hill is 40 feet. Due to the property size and the width along the street frontage, the strict application of the parking standards and landscaping requirement would deprive the subject property of privileges enjoyed by other properties in the vicinity and under identical zone classification.

The nonconforming structure, while not itself historic, was built in 1947 in a location that was previously occupied by a similarly sized outbuilding since at least 1905 and is located within a potential Depot Hill Historic District. The small size of the structure (675 square feet) is consistent with other structures in the neighborhood built around the same time period, but it would limit the size of an addition which complies with the nonconforming structural alteration limit to well below the maximum floor area for the lot size. Therefore, the strict application of the nonconforming structural alteration limit would deprive the subject property of privileges enjoyed by other properties in the vicinity and under identical zone classification.

B. The grant of a variance would not constitute a grant of a special privilege inconsistent with the limitation upon other properties in the vicinity and zone in which subject property is situated.

The majority of properties on the block have either no onsite parking or substandard onsite parking and do not provide two feet of landscaping between required parking spaces in the front setback and the side lot line. Therefore, the approval of a variance for the parking standards and landscaping requirement would not be a grant of special privilege inconsistent with the limitation upon other properties in the vicinity and zone in which the property the property is situated.

The majority of structures in the neighborhood built around the same time period are small and do not comply with required front or side setbacks and many of these properties have received variances to exceed the nonconforming structural alteration limit. Therefore, the approval of a variance for the nonconforming structural alteration limit would not be a grant of special privilege inconsistent with the limitation upon other properties in the vicinity and zone in which the property the property is situated.

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) FINDINGS

A. This project is categorically exempt under Section 15332 of the California Environmental Quality Act and is subject to Section 753.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.

Section 15332 of the CEQA Guidelines exempts projects characterized as in-fill development when the project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations; the proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than five acres substantially surrounded by urban uses; the project site has no value as habitat for endangered, rare, or threatened species; the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and the site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services. This project involves first- and second-story additions and a new basement for an existing home within the R-1 (Single-Family Residential) zoning district. The proposed project is consistent with the in-fill development exemption and no adverse environmental impacts

were discovered during review of the proposed project.

COASTAL FINDINGS

A. Findings Required.

- 1. A coastal permit shall be granted only upon adoption of specific written factual findings supporting the conclusion that the proposed development conforms to the certified Local Coastal Program, including, but not limited to:**
 - a. A statement of the individual and cumulative burdens imposed on public access and recreation opportunities based on applicable factors identified pursuant to subsection (D)(2) of this section. The type of affected public access and recreation opportunities shall be clearly described;
 - b. An analysis based on applicable factors identified in subsection (D)(2) of this section of the necessity for requiring public access conditions to find the project consistent with the public access provisions of the Coastal Act;
 - c. A description of the legitimate governmental interest furthered by any access conditioned required;
 - d. An explanation of how imposition of an access dedication requirement alleviates the access burdens identified.
 - The proposed development conforms to the City's certified Local Coastal Plan (LCP). The specific, factual findings, as per CMC Section 17.46.090(D) are as follows:

- 2. Require Project-Specific Findings. In determining any requirement for public access, including the type of access and character of use, the city shall evaluate and document in written findings the factors identified in subsections (D)(2)(a) through (e), to the extent applicable. The findings shall explain the basis for the conclusions and decisions of the city and shall be supported by substantial evidence in the record. If an access dedication is required as a condition of approval, the findings shall explain how the adverse effects which have been identified will be alleviated or mitigated by the dedication. As used in this section, "cumulative effect" means the effect of the individual project in combination with the effects of past projects, other current projects, and probable future projects, including development allowed under applicable planning and zoning.**
 - a. Project Effects on Demand for Access and Recreation. Identification of existing and open public access and coastal recreation areas and facilities in the regional and local vicinity of the development. Analysis of the project's effects upon existing public access and recreation opportunities. Analysis of the project's cumulative effects upon the use and capacity of the identified access and recreation opportunities, including public tidelands and beach resources, and upon the capacity of major coastal roads from subdivision, intensification or cumulative buildout. Projection for the anticipated demand and need for increased coastal access and recreation opportunities for the public. Analysis of the contribution of the project's cumulative effects to any such projected increase. Description of the physical characteristics of the site and its proximity to the sea, tideland viewing points, upland recreation areas, and trail linkages to tidelands or recreation areas. Analysis of the importance and potential of the site, because of its location or other characteristics, for creating, preserving or enhancing public access to tidelands or public recreation opportunities;
 - The proposed project is located at 205 El Salto Drive. The home is not located in an area with coastal access. The home will not have an effect on public trails or beach access.

- b. **Shoreline Processes.** Description of the existing shoreline conditions, including beach profile, accessibility and usability of the beach, history of erosion or accretion, character and sources of sand, wave and sand movement, presence of shoreline protective structures, location of the line of mean high tide during the season when the beach is at its narrowest (generally during the late winter) and the proximity of that line to existing structures, and any other factors which substantially characterize or affect the shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes and beach profile unrelated to the proposed development. Description and analysis of any reasonably likely changes, attributable to the primary and cumulative effects of the project, to: wave and sand movement affecting beaches in the vicinity of the project; the profile of the beach; the character, extent, accessibility and usability of the beach; and any other factors which characterize or affect beaches in the vicinity. Analysis of the effect of any identified changes of the project, alone or in combination with other anticipated changes, will have upon the ability of the public to use public tidelands and shoreline recreation areas;
- The proposed project is located along El Salto Drive. No portion of the project is located along the shoreline or beach.
- c. **Historic Public Use.** Evidence of use of the site by members of the general public for a continuous five-year period (such use may be seasonal). Evidence of the type and character of use made by the public (vertical, lateral, blufftop, etc., and for passive and/or active recreational use, etc.). Identification of any agency (or person) who has maintained and/or improved the area subject to historic public use and the nature of the maintenance performed and improvements made. Identification of the record owner of the area historically used by the public and any attempts by the owner to prohibit public use of the area, including the success or failure of those attempts. Description of the potential for adverse impact on public use of the area from the proposed development (including but not limited to, creation of physical or psychological impediments to public use);
- There is not a history of public use on the subject lot.
- d. **Physical Obstructions.** Description of any physical aspects of the development which block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or other public coastal resources or to see the shoreline;
- The proposed project is located on private property on El Salto Drive. The project will not block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or views to the shoreline.
- e. **Other Adverse Impacts on Access and Recreation.** Description of the development's physical proximity and relationship to the shoreline and any public recreation area. Analysis of the extent of which buildings, walls, signs, streets or other aspects of the development, individually or cumulatively, are likely to diminish the public's use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation. Description of any alteration of the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas, and of any diminution of the quality or amount of recreational use of public lands which may be attributable to the individual or cumulative effects of the development.
- The proposed project is located on private property that will not impact access and recreation. The project does not diminish the public's use of tidelands or

lands committed to public recreation nor alter the aesthetic, visual, or recreational value of public use areas.

3. **Required Findings for Public Access Exceptions. Any determination that one of the exceptions of subsection (F)(2) applies to a development shall be supported by written findings of fact, analysis and conclusions which address all of the following:**
 - a. The type of access potentially applicable to the site involved (vertical, lateral, bluff top, etc.) and its location in relation to the fragile coastal resource to be protected, the agricultural use, the public safety concern, or the military facility which is the basis for the exception, as applicable;
 - b. Unavailability of any mitigating measures to manage the type, character, intensity, hours, season or location of such use so that agricultural resources, fragile coastal resources, public safety, or military security, as applicable, are protected;
 - c. Ability of the public, through another reasonable means, to reach the same area of public tidelands as would be made accessible by an accessway on the subject land.
 - The project is not requesting a Public Access Exception, therefore these findings do not apply.

4. **Findings for Management Plan Conditions. Written findings in support of a condition requiring a management plan for regulating the time and manner or character of public access use must address the following factors, as applicable:**
 - a. Identification and protection of specific habitat values including the reasons supporting the conclusions that such values must be protected by limiting the hours, seasons, or character of public use;
 - The project is located in a residential area without sensitive habitat areas.
 - b. Topographic constraints of the development site;
 - The project is located on a flat lot.
 - c. Recreational needs of the public;
 - The project does not impact the recreational needs of the public.
 - d. Rights of privacy of the landowner which could not be mitigated by setting the project back from the access way or otherwise conditioning the development;
 - e. The requirements of the possible accepting agency, if an offer of dedication is the mechanism for securing public access;
 - f. Feasibility of adequate setbacks, fencing, landscaping, and other methods as part of a management plan to regulate public use.

5. **Project complies with public access requirements, including submittal of appropriate legal documents to ensure the right of public access whenever, and as, required by the certified land use plan and Section 17.46.010 (coastal access requirements);**
 - No legal documents to ensure public access rights are required for the proposed project.

6. **Project complies with visitor-serving and recreational use policies;**

SEC. 30222

The use of private lands suitable for visitor-serving commercial recreational facilities designed to enhance public opportunities for coastal recreation shall have priority over private residential, general industrial, or general commercial development, but not over agriculture or coastal-dependent industry.

- The project involves first- and second-story additions and a new basement on a residential lot of record.

SEC. 30223

Upland areas necessary to support coastal recreational uses shall be reserved for such uses, where feasible.

- The project involves first- and second-story additions and a new basement on a residential lot of record.

c) Visitor-serving facilities that cannot be feasibly located in existing developed areas shall be located in existing isolated developments or at selected points of attraction for visitors.

- The project involves first- and second-story additions and a new basement on a residential lot of record.

7. Project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision of public and private parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements;

- The project involves the construction of first- and second-story additions and a new basement. The project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision for parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation, and/or traffic improvements.

8. Review of project design, site plan, signing, lighting, landscaping, etc., by the city's architectural and site review committee, and compliance with adopted design guidelines and standards, and review committee recommendations;

- The project complies with the design guidelines and standards established by the Municipal Code.

9. Project complies with LCP policies regarding protection of public landmarks, protection or provision of public views; and shall not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola's shoreline;

- The project will not negatively impact public landmarks and/or public views. The project will not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola's shoreline.

10. Demonstrated availability and adequacy of water and sewer services;

- The project is located on a legal lot of record with available water and sewer services.

11. Provisions of minimum water flow rates and fire response times;

- The project is located 0.4 miles from the Central Fire Protection District Capitola Station. Water is available at the location.

12. Project complies with water and energy conservation standards;

- The project is for first- and second-story additions and a new basement. The GHG emissions for the project are projected at less than significant impact. All water fixtures must comply with the low-flow standards of the Soquel Creek Water District.

13. Provision of park dedication, school impact, and other fees as may be required;

- The project will be required to pay appropriate fees prior to building permit issuance.

14. Project complies with coastal housing policies, and applicable ordinances including condominium conversion and mobile home ordinances;

- The project does not involve a condo conversion or mobile homes.

15. Project complies with natural resource, habitat, and archaeological protection policies;

- Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with established policies.

16. Project complies with Monarch butterfly habitat protection policies;

- The project is outside of any identified sensitive habitats, specifically areas where Monarch Butterflies have been encountered, identified and documented.

17. Project provides drainage and erosion and control measures to protect marine, stream, and wetland water quality from urban runoff and erosion;

- Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with applicable erosion control measures.

18. Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professional for projects in seismic areas, geologically unstable areas, or coastal bluffs, and project complies with hazard protection policies including provision of appropriate setbacks and mitigation measures;

- Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professionals for this project. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project applicant shall comply with all applicable requirements of the most recent version of the California Building Standards Code.

19. All other geological, flood and fire hazards are accounted for and mitigated in the project design;

- Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project complies with geological, flood, and fire hazards and are accounted for and will be mitigated in the project design.

20. Project complies with shoreline structure policies;

- The proposed project is not located along a shoreline.

21. The uses proposed are consistent with the permitted or conditional uses of the zoning district in which the project is located;

- This use is an allowed use consistent with the R-1 (Single-Family Residential) zoning district.

22. Conformance to requirements of all other city ordinances, zoning requirements, and project review procedures; and

- The project conforms to the requirements of all city ordinances, zoning requirements, and project development review and development procedures.

23. Project complies with the Capitola parking permit program as follows:

- a. The village area preferential parking program areas and conditions as established in Resolution No. 2596 and no permit parking of any kind shall be allowed on Capitola Avenue.
 - b. The neighborhood preferential parking program areas are as established in Resolution Numbers 2433 and 2510.
 - c. The village area preferential parking program shall be limited to three hundred fifty permits.
 - d. Neighborhood permit areas are only in force when the shuttle bus is operating except that:
 - i. The Fanmar area (Resolution No. 2436) program may operate year-round, twenty-four hours a day on weekends,
 - ii. The Burlingame, Cliff Avenue/Grand Avenue area (Resolution No. 2435) have year-round, twenty-four hour per day “no public parking.”
 - e. Except as specifically allowed under the village parking program, no preferential residential parking may be allowed in the Cliff Drive parking areas.
 - f. Six Depot Hill twenty-four minute “Vista” parking spaces (Resolution No. 2510) shall be provided as corrected in Exhibit A attached to the ordinance codified in this section and found on file in the office of the city clerk.
 - g. A limit of fifty permits for the Pacific Cove parking lot may be issued to village permit holders and transient occupancy permit holders.
 - h. No additional development in the village that intensifies use and requires additional parking shall be permitted. Changes in use that do not result in additional parking demand can be allowed and exceptions for onsite parking as allowed in the land use plan can be made.
- The project site is not located within the area of the Capitola parking permit program.

RESULT:	APPROVED AS AMENDED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Susan Westman
SECONDER:	Courtney Christiansen
AYES:	Courtney Christiansen, Ed Newman, Susan Westman, Peter Wilk, Mick Routh

B. 201 Capitola Avenue #20-0444 APN: 035-231-09

Design Permit and Conditional Use Permit Amendments for a new entryway, windows, and an awning for an historic commercial structure located within the C-V (Central Village) zoning district.

This project is in the Coastal Zone but does not require a Coastal Development Permit.

Environmental Determination: Categorical Exemption

Property Owner: Rick Avia

Representative: Frank Phanton, Architect, Filed: 10.28.2020

Commissioners Newman and Wilk recused themselves due to proximity.

Associate Planner Orbach presented the staff report.

Commissioner Westman requested clarification on the plans. Associate Planner Orbach provided information regarding the awning's design and colors.

Commissioner Christiansen stated that she would like more depth in the applicant's design. Associate Planner Orbach stated additional details that were approved by the historian were included in the conditions of approval and on the plan set.

Frank Phanton, representative of the project, confirmed additional design details.

MOTION: Approve the design permit and conditional use permit with the following conditions and findings.

CONDITIONS

1. The project approval consists of design permit and conditional use permit amendments for a new entryway, windows, and an awning for an historic commercial structure. The maximum Floor Area Ratio for the 5,140-square-foot property is 2.0 (10,280 square feet). The total FAR of the project is 0.93 with a total of 4,796 square feet, compliant with the maximum FAR within the zone. The proposed project is approved as indicated on the final plans reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on March 4, 2021, except as modified through conditions imposed by the Planning Commission during the hearing.
2. Prior to construction, a building permit shall be secured for any new construction or modifications to structures authorized by this permit. Final building plans shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Planning Commission. All construction and site improvements shall be completed according to the approved plans
3. At time of submittal for building permit review, the Conditions of Approval must be printed in full on the cover sheet of the construction plans.

4. At time of submittal for building permit review, Public Works Standard Detail SMP STRM shall be printed in full and incorporated as a sheet into the construction plans. All construction shall be done in accordance with the Public Works Standard Detail BMP STRM.
5. Prior to making any changes to approved plans, modifications must be specifically requested and submitted in writing to the Community Development Department. Any significant changes to the size or exterior appearance of the structure shall require Planning Commission approval.
6. Prior to issuance of building permit, all Planning fees associated with permit #20-0444 shall be paid in full.
7. Prior to issuance of building permit, the developer shall pay Affordable housing in-lieu fees as required to assure compliance with the City of Capitola Affordable (Inclusionary) Housing Ordinance.
8. Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant must provide documentation of plan approval by the following entities: Santa Cruz County Sanitation Department, Soquel Creek Water District, and Central Fire Protection District.
9. Prior to issuance of building permits, a drainage plan, grading, sediment and erosion control plan, shall be submitted to the City and approved by Public Works. The plans shall be in compliance with the requirements specified in Capitola Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 Storm Water Pollution Prevention and Protection.
10. Prior to issuance of building permits, the applicant shall submit a stormwater management plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works which implements all applicable Post Construction Requirements (PCRs) and Public Works Standard Details, including all standards relating to low impact development (LID).
11. Prior to any land disturbance, a pre-site inspection must be conducted by the grading official to verify compliance with the approved erosion and sediment control plan.
12. Prior to any work in the City road right of way, an encroachment permit shall be acquired by the contractor performing the work. No material or equipment storage may be placed in the road right-of-way.
13. During construction, any construction activity shall be subject to a construction noise curfew, except when otherwise specified in the building permit issued by the City. Construction noise shall be prohibited between the hours of nine p.m. and seven-thirty a.m. on weekdays. Construction noise shall be prohibited on weekends with the exception of Saturday work between nine a.m. and four p.m. or emergency work approved by the building official. §9.12.010B
14. Prior to a project final, all cracked or broken driveway approaches, curb, gutter, or sidewalk shall be replaced per the Public Works Standard Details and to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department. All replaced driveway approaches, curb, gutter or sidewalk shall meet current Accessibility Standards.
15. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, compliance with all conditions of approval shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Community Development

Director. Upon evidence of non-compliance with conditions of approval or applicable municipal code provisions, the applicant shall remedy the non-compliance to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director or shall file an application for a permit amendment for Planning Commission consideration. Failure to remedy a non-compliance in a timely manner may result in permit revocation.

16. This permit shall expire 24 months from the date of issuance. The applicant shall have an approved building permit and construction underway before this date to prevent permit expiration. Applications for extension may be submitted by the applicant prior to expiration pursuant to Municipal Code section 17.81.160.
17. The planning and infrastructure review and approval are transferable with the title to the underlying property so that an approved project may be conveyed or assigned by the applicant to others without losing the approval. The permit cannot be transferred off the site on which the approval was granted.
18. Upon receipt of certificate of occupancy, garbage and recycling containers shall be placed out of public view on non-collection days.
19. At time of building plan submittal, the plans shall include language on the cover sheet referring to the property as an "Historic Resource", requiring review of all design revisions, and that the project should include notes that the existing historic elements are to be protected during construction.
20. New commercial display windows, transom windows, and entrance doors shall have anodized aluminum frames.
21. New commercial display windows and transom windows shall be sized so that they have bullnose stucco on both sides as well as at the top.
22. Exterior display-window sills and aprons shall be traditional forms of wood or of anodized aluminum, or the stucco bullnose shall wrap the base of the window frames.
23. Note for windows to "match existing" shall apply only to the upstairs windows, not to the display windows.
24. Material of the apartment door shall be differentiated from the historic building.
25. New awning above the apartment door shall be differentiated from the recent awnings used on the historic building (e.g., by matching the color and/or the form of the awning at the storefront at 121 San Jose Avenue).
26. Prior to Planning final, existing awnings adjacent to San Jose Avenue and Capitola Avenue that were painted over shall be replaced in kind.

DESIGN PERMIT FINDINGS

A. The project, subject to the conditions imposed, secures the purposes of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan, and Local Coastal Plan.

Community Development Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the project. The proposed design permit and conditional use permit amendments for a new entryway, windows, and an awning for an historic commercial structure comply with the development standards of the C-V (Central Village) Zoning District. The project secures the purpose of the Zoning Ordinance,

General Plan, and Local Coastal Plan

B. The project will maintain the character and integrity of the neighborhood.

Community Development Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the application for design permit and conditional use permit amendments for a new entryway, windows, and an awning for an historic commercial structure. The remodel, which retains the historic integrity of the building and adds a second new store frontage along San Jose Avenue, will fit in nicely with the existing neighborhood. The project will maintain the character and integrity of the neighborhood.

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) FINDINGS

A. This project is categorically exempt under Section 15331 of the California Environmental Quality Act and is subject to Section 753.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.

Section 15331 of the CEQA Guidelines exempts rehabilitation projects of historic resources in a manner consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties with Guidelines for Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring, and Reconstructing Historic buildings. The proposed project is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and no adverse environmental impacts were discovered by Planning Staff during the review of the proposed project.

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FINDINGS

A. The action proposed will not be significantly detrimental to the historic feature in which the change in use is to occur.

Architectural Historian Leslie Dill reviewed the project for compatibility with the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation* and found that the proposed plan amendments comply with the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation*. The project will not be significantly detrimental to the historic feature in which the change in use is to occur.

RESULT:	APPROVED [3-0]
MOVER:	Susan Westman
SECONDER:	Courtney Christiansen
AYES:	Courtney Christiansen, Susan Westman, Mick Routh
RECUSED:	Ed Newman, Peter Wilk

C. 835 Bay Avenue #21-0017 APN: 035-381-01

Conditional Use Permit for the storage of vehicles for the Toyota dealership located within the C-C (Community Commercial) zoning district.

This project is not in the Coastal Zone and does not require a Coastal Development Permit.

Environmental Determination: Categorical Exemption

Property Owner: Redtree Partners LP

Representative: Sven Davis, Filed: 01.15.2021

This item was pulled from the agenda.

5. DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Director Herlihy stated that the Coastal Commission approved the zoning code update to move forward with few modifications. Director Herlihy reminded the Commission that the non-conforming and geological hazards chapters were not included in the update.

6. COMMISSION COMMUNICATIONS

Commissioner Newman requested input from the Commission regarding the City's lack of enforcement of Capitola's sign ordinance. He noticed an increase in non-compliance, particularly on 41st Ave. He questioned whether the sign ordinance should exist if it is not enforced.

Commissioner Westman concurred with Commissioner Newman, but stated that the sign ordinance should remain and be enforced.

Commissioner Westman and Commissioner Wilk stated they would like a general discussion on code enforcement. Chair Routh suggested the Commission conduct a study session to discuss these topics.

Director Herlihy stated she can raise these concerns to the City Manager's attention and determine whether the City Council would like a proactive approach to code enforcement.

Chair Routh would like the City Manager to conduct a meeting with City Hall staff to address these concerns.

Chair Routh added he will not be present for the next meeting.

7. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 7:53 P.M. to the next regular meeting of the Planning Commission to April 01, 2021.

Edna Basa, Clerk to the Commission

FINALIZED 04/01/2021