

**ADOPTED MINUTES**

**CAPITOLA PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING**

**THURSDAY, MARCH 6, 2014**

**7 P.M. – CAPITOLA CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

Chairperson Ortiz called the Regular Meeting of the Capitola Planning Commission to order at 7 p.m.

**1. ROLL CALL AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Commissioners: Ron Graves, Mick Routh, Linda Smith and TJ Welch and Chairperson Gayle Ortiz

**2. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS**

### Additions and Deletions to Agenda

The applicant requested that item 4E be pulled from the Consent Agenda.

Staff noted that in the electronic version of the agenda packet, the attached plans for items 4C and 4D were interchanged. Correctly marked versions were made available for the public at the meeting. Full-size plans distributed to the Planning Commission were correct.

## Public Comments - None

1. Commission Comments - None

**D.** Staff Comments - None

**3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **A.** | **February 6, 2014, Draft Planning Commission Minutes** |

**A motion to approve the February 6, 2014, meeting minutes was made by Commissioner Graves and seconded by Commissioner Smith.**

**The motion carried by the following vote: Aye: Commissioners Graves, Routh, Smith and Welch and Chairperson Ortiz. No: None. Abstain: None**

**4. CONSENT CALENDAR**

Commissioner Routh owns property in the vicinity of some applications on the Consent Agenda. He recused himself and left the dais for the agenda item vote.

**A.** **1550 McGregor Drive      #13-174      APN: 036-34-101**

Design Permit, Conditional Use Permit, Tree Removal Permit, and Coastal Development Permit for a public multiuse park with recycling pod in the PF/VS (public facilities/visitor serving) zoning district.
This project requires a Coastal Development Permit which is appealable to the California Coastal Commission after all possible appeals are exhausted through the City.
Environmental Determination: Categorical Exemption
Owner: City of Capitola
Representative:  Steve Jesberg, filed 01/08/2014

This item was pulled from consent by Commissioner Graves and heard at the start of the Public Hearings.

Senior Planner Katie Cattan presented the staff report. She confirmed that the Hope Services recycling pod will placed on a concrete pad and does not impact available parking.

Chairperson Ortiz opened the public hearing. Public Work Director Steve Jesberg represented the City. Commissioner Graves asked if the preparation of conceptual and construction plans was put out to bid or fell under the limit. Director Jesberg said costs, which he estimated under $10,000, did not require going out for bid. Construction work will be put to bid.

Chairperson Ortiz said that although she initially did not feel the pod was complementary to park uses and design, she appreciates that the Hope Services supervision will add a regular presence at the park and is an acceptable trade-off for allowing the pod.

Commissioner Graves checked other recycling sites and saw that sorting can extend into parking areas. He asked that it be monitored.

**A motion to approve project application #13-174 for a Design Permit, Conditional Use Permit, Tree Removal Permit, and Coastal Development Permit with the following conditions and findings was made by Commissioner Graves and seconded by Commissioner Smith:**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. The project approval consists of a multiuse park in the PF/VS zoning district. There are no structures proposed on site. Improvements consist of flat work, fencing, landscaping, and lighting. The proposed project is approved as indicated on the plans reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on March 6, 2014, except as modified through conditions imposed by the Planning Commission during the hearing.
2. Prior to construction, final building plans shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Planning Commission. All construction and site improvements shall be completed according to the approved concept plans.
3. Public Works Standard Detail SMP STRM shall be printed in full and incorporated as a sheet into the construction plans. All construction shall be done in accordance with the Public Works Standard Detail BMP STRM.
4. The approved plans are conceptual and exact details of the individual uses will be developed prior to site improvements. The approved concept plan with layout of the park is approved as reviewed by the Planning Commission on March 6, 2014. Modifications must be specifically requested and submitted in writing to the Community Development Department. Any significant changes to the conceptual layout of the site shall require Planning Commission approval.
5. A final landscape plan shall be submitted and approved by the Community Development Department. Landscape plans shall reflect the Planning Commission approval and shall identify type, size, and location of species and details of irrigation systems.
6. A drainage plan, grading, sediment and erosion control plan shall be submitted to the City and approved by Public Works. The plans shall be in compliance with the requirements specified in Capitola Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 Storm Water Pollution Prevention and Protection.
7. The applicant shall submit a stormwater management plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works which implements all applicable Post Construction Requirements (PCRs) and Public Works Standard Details, including all standards relating to low impact development (LID).
8. Prior to any land disturbance, a pre-site inspection must be conducted by the grading official to verify compliance with the approved erosion and sediment control plan.
9. During construction, any construction activity shall be subject to a construction noise curfew, except when otherwise specified in the building permit issued by the City. Construction noise shall be prohibited between the hours of 9 p.m. and 7:30 a.m. on weekdays. Construction noise shall be prohibited on weekends with the exception of Saturday work between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. or emergency work approved by the building official. §9.12.010B

**FINDINGS**

1. **The application, subject to the conditions imposed, will secure the purposes of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan, and Local Coastal Plan.**

 Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the multiuse park. The public park project requires a conditional use permit within the PF/VS (Public Facility/Visitor Serving) Zoning Districts. Conditions of approval have been included to carry out the objectives of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan and Local Coastal Plan.

1. **The application will maintain the character and integrity of the neighborhood.**

 Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the plans for the new multiuse park. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure that the project maintains the character and integrity of the neighborhood. The proposed multiuse park compliments the surrounding New Brighton State Beach. The park will be open to the public. Access to the State Beach is not compromised by the new parks. The park will add to the recreational uses within the immediate area, providing visitors of the park with additional recreation options.

1. **This project is categorically exempt under Section 15304 of the California Environmental Quality Act and is not subject to Section 753.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.**

Section 15304 of the CEQA Guidelines exempts minor public alterations of land. This project involves modifying a dirt parking lot into a multiuse park. There are no permanent structures proposed within the project. No adverse environmental impacts were discovered during review of the proposed project.

**COASTAL FINDINGS**

***D. Findings Required. A coastal permit shall be granted only upon adoption of specific written factual findings supporting the conclusion that the proposed development conforms to the certified Local Coastal Program, including, but not limited to:***

* The proposed development conforms to the City’s certified Local Coastal Plan (LCP). The specific, factual findings, as per CMC Section 17.46.090 (D) are as follows:

***(D) (2) Require Project-Specific Findings. In determining any requirement for public access, including the type of access and character of use, the city shall evaluate and document in written findings the factors identified in subsections (D) (2) (a) through (e), to the extent applicable. The findings shall explain the basis for the conclusions and decisions of the city and shall be supported by substantial evidence in the record. If an access dedication is required as a condition of approval, the findings shall explain how the adverse effects which have been identified will be alleviated or mitigated by the dedication. As used in this section, “cumulative effect” means the effect of the individual project in combination with the effects of past projects, other current projects, and probable future projects, including development allowed under applicable planning and zoning.***

***(D) (2) (a) Project Effects on Demand for Access and Recreation. Identification of existing and open public access and coastal recreation areas and facilities in the regional and local vicinity of the development. Analysis of the project’s effects upon existing public access and recreation opportunities. Analysis of the project’s cumulative effects upon the use and capacity of the identified access and recreation opportunities, including public tidelands and beach resources, and upon the capacity of major coastal roads from subdivision, intensification or cumulative build-out. Projection for the anticipated demand and need for increased coastal access and recreation opportunities for the public. Analysis of the contribution of the project’s cumulative effects to any such projected increase. Description of the physical characteristics of the site and its proximity to the sea, tideland viewing points, upland recreation areas, and trail linkages to tidelands or recreation areas. Analysis of the importance and potential of the site, because of its location or other characteristics, for creating, preserving or enhancing public access to tidelands or public recreation opportunities;***

* The proposed project is located on public property adjacent to the entrance of New Brighton State Park. The project will add to the recreation opportunities in the area. It will not affect public access and coastal recreation areas negatively as it involves a new public park along the road frontage of McGregor Drive. There will be no impact on public trails or beach access.

***(D) (2) (b) Shoreline Processes. Description of the existing shoreline conditions, including beach profile, accessibility and usability of the beach, history of erosion or accretion, character and sources of sand, wave and sand movement, presence of shoreline protective structures, location of the line of mean high tide during the season when the beach is at its narrowest (generally during the late winter) and the proximity of that line to existing structures, and any other factors which substantially characterize or affect the shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes and beach profile unrelated to the proposed development. Description and analysis of any reasonably likely changes, attributable to the primary and cumulative effects of the project, to: wave and sand movement affecting beaches in the vicinity of the project; the profile of the beach; the character, extent, accessibility and usability of the beach; and any other factors which characterize or affect beaches in the vicinity. Analysis of the effect of any identified changes of the project, alone or in combination with other anticipated changes, will have upon the ability of the public to use public tidelands and shoreline recreation areas;***

* The proposed project is located adjacent to McGregor Drive, approximately 2,000 feet from the shoreline. No portion of the project is located along the shoreline or beach.

***(D) (2) (c) Historic Public Use. Evidence of use of the site by members of the general public for a continuous five-year period (such use may be seasonal). Evidence of the type and character of use made by the public (vertical, lateral, blufftop, etc., and for passive and/or active recreational use, etc.). Identification of any agency (or person) who has maintained and/or improved the area subject to historic public use and the nature of the maintenance performed and improvements made. Identification of the record owner of the area historically used by the public and any attempts by the owner to prohibit public use of the area, including the success or failure of those attempts. Description of the potential for adverse impact on public use of the area from the proposed development (including but not limited to, creation of physical or psychological impediments to public use);***

* The publicly owned site has been utilized for parking and for construction staging. The new park will be open to the public for recreation.
1. ***(2) (d) Physical Obstructions. Description of any physical aspects of the development which block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or other public coastal resources or to see the shoreline;***
* The proposed project is located on public property adjacent to New Brighton State Park. The project will not block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or views to the shoreline.

 ***(D) (2) (e) Other Adverse Impacts on Access and Recreation. Description of the development’s physical proximity and relationship to the shoreline and any public recreation area. Analysis of the extent of which buildings, walls, signs, streets or other aspects of the development, individually or cumulatively, are likely to diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation. Description of any alteration of the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas, and of any diminution of the quality or amount of recreational use of public lands which may be attributable to the individual or cumulative effects of the development.***

* The proposed project is located on public property adjacent to New Brighton State Park. The project does not diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation nor alter the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas. The land will be utilized for public recreation.

 ***(D) (3) (a – c) Required Findings for Public Access Exceptions. Any determination that one of the exceptions of subsection (F) (2) applies to a development shall be supported by written findings of fact, analysis and conclusions which address all of the following:***

***a. The type of access potentially applicable to the site involved (vertical, lateral, bluff top, etc.) and its location in relation to the fragile coastal resource to be protected, the agricultural use, the public safety concern, or the military facility which is the basis for the exception, as applicable;***

***b. Unavailability of any mitigating measures to manage the type, character, intensity, hours, season or location of such use so that agricultural resources, fragile coastal resources, public safety, or military security, as applicable, are protected;***

***c. Ability of the public, through another reasonable means, to reach the same area of public tidelands as would be made accessible by an access way on the subject land.***

* The project is not requesting a Public Access Exception, therefore these findings do not apply

***(D) (4) (a – f) Findings for Management Plan Conditions. Written findings in support of a condition requiring a management plan for regulating the time and manner or character of public access use must address the following factors, as applicable:***

***a. Identification and protection of specific habitat values including the reasons supporting the conclusions that such values must be protected by limiting the hours, seasons, or character of public use;***

 ***b. Topographic constraints of the development site;***

 ***c. Recreational needs of the public;***

 ***d. Rights of privacy of the landowner which could not be mitigated by setting the project back from the access way or otherwise conditioning the development;***

***e. The requirements of the possible accepting agency, if an offer of dedication is the mechanism for securing public access;***

***f. Feasibility of adequate setbacks, fencing, landscaping, and other methods as part of a management plan to regulate public use.***

* No Management Plan is required; therefore these findings do not apply

***(D) (5) Project complies with public access requirements, including submittal of appropriate legal documents to ensure the right of public access whenever, and as, required by the certified land use plan and Section 17.46.010 (coastal access requirements);***

* No legal documents to ensure public access rights are required for the proposed project

***(D) (6) Project complies with visitor-serving and recreational use policies;***

 *SEC. 30222*

 *The use of private lands suitable for visitor-serving commercial recreational facilities designed to enhance public opportunities for coastal recreation shall have priority over private residential, general industrial, or general commercial development, but not over agriculture or coastal-dependent industry.*

* The project is a public mixed use park with visitor-serving recreational facilities designed to enhance public opportunities to recreate.

 *SEC. 30223*

 *Upland areas necessary to support coastal recreational uses shall be reserved for such uses, where feasible.*

* The project involves public recreation facilities.

***c) Visitor-serving facilities that cannot be feasibly located in existing developed areas shall be located in existing isolated developments or at selected points of attraction for visitors.***

* The project involves a visitor-serving public recreation park on a parcel adjacent to the New Brighton state beach. This is a selected point of attraction for visitors.

 ***(D) (7) Project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision of public and private parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements;***

* The project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision of public and private parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements. A parking and traffic study was completed to ensure that demand is met.

***(D) (8) Review of project design, site plan, signing, lighting, landscaping, etc., by the city’s architectural and site review committee, and compliance with adopted design guidelines and standards, and review committee recommendations;***

* The project complies with the design guidelines and standards established by the Municipal Code.

***(D) (9) Project complies with LCP policies regarding protection of public landmarks, protection or provision of public views; and shall not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline;***

* The proposed project is located on City property adjacent to the entrance to New Brighton State Park. The project will not result negatively impact public landmarks and/or public views. The project will not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline.

***(D) (10) Demonstrated availability and adequacy of water and sewer services;***

* The project has adequate water and sewer services.

***(D) (11) Provisions of minimum water flow rates and fire response times;***

* The project is an outdoor recreation mixed-use park.

 *(D) (12) Project complies with water and energy conservation standards;*

* The project establishes a recreation mixed-use park. GHG emissions for the project are projected at less than significant impact.

***(D) (13) Provision of park dedication, school impact, and other fees as may be required;***

* The public park will not require any impact fees.

***(D) (14) Project complies with coastal housing policies, and applicable ordinances including condominium conversion and mobile home ordinances;***

* The project does not involve a condo conversion or mobile homes.

***(D) (15) Project complies with natural resource, habitat, and archaeological protection policies;***

* The project complies with natural resource, habitat, and archaeological protection policies.

***(D) (16) Project complies with Monarch butterfly habitat protection policies;***

* The project is outside of any identified sensitive habitats, specifically areas where Monarch Butterflies have been encountered, identified and documented.

***(D) (17) Project provides drainage and erosion and control measures to protect marine, stream, and wetland water quality from urban runoff and erosion;***

* Engineering plans have been included to ensure compliance with applicable erosion control measures.

***(D) (18) Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professional for projects in seismic areas, geologically unstable areas, or coastal bluffs, and project complies with hazard protection policies including provision of appropriate setbacks and mitigation measures;***

* The project is a park. There are no permanent structures proposed.

***(D) (19) All other geological, flood and fire hazards are accounted for and mitigated in the project design;***

* A certified engineer has reviewed all plans for compliance with geological, flood and fire hazards.

***(D) (20) Project complies with shoreline structure policies;***

* The proposed project is not located along a shoreline.

***(D) (21) The uses proposed are consistent with the permitted or conditional uses of the zoning district in which the project is located;***

* The public park is consistent with the Public Facilities/Visitor Serving zoning district.

***(D) (22) Conformance to requirements of all other city ordinances, zoning requirements, and project review procedures;***

* The project conforms to the requirements of all city ordinances, zoning requirements and project development review and development procedures.

***(D) (23) Project complies with the Capitola parking permit program as follows:***

* The project site is not located within the area of the Capitola parking permit program.

**The motion carried by the following vote: Aye: Commissioners Graves, Routh, Smith, and Welch and Chairperson Ortiz. No: None. Abstain: None.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **B.** | **306 El Salto Drive      #13-181      APN: 036-123-26** |
| Design Permit and Coastal Development Permit for an addition to an existing single family home in the R-1 (Single Family) zoning district. This application requires a Coastal Development permit which is appealable to the California Coastal Commission after all possible appeals are exhausted through the City. Environmental Determination: Categorical ExemptionProperty Owner: Chris and Cindy HenryRepresentative: Martha Matson, filed 01/23/2014 |

**A motion to approve project application #13-181 for a Design Permit and Coastal Development Permit** **with the following conditions and findings was made by Commissioner Graves and seconded by Commissioner Smith:**

### CONDITIONS

1. The project approval consists of construction of a 134 square-foot addition to an existing single family home. The maximum Floor Area Ratio for the 3,200 square-foot property is 57% (1,824 square feet). The total FAR of the home with new addition is 47% with a total of 1,485 square feet, compliant with the maximum FAR within the zone. The proposed project is approved as indicated on the final plans reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on March 6, 2014, except as modified through conditions imposed by the Planning Commission during the hearing.
2. Prior to construction, a building permit shall be secured for any new construction or modifications to structures authorized by this permit. Final building plans shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Planning Commission. All construction and site improvements shall be completed according to the approved plans.
3. At time of submittal for building permit review, the Conditions of Approval must be printed in full on the cover sheet of the construction plans.
4. At time of submittal for building permit review, Public Works Standard Detail SMP STRM shall be printed in full and incorporated as a sheet into the construction plans. All construction shall be done in accordance with the Public Works Standard Detail BMP STRM.
5. Prior to making any changes to approved plans, modifications must be specifically requested and submitted in writing to the Community Development Department. Any significant changes to the size or exterior appearance of the structure shall require Planning Commission approval.
6. The existing front yard landscaping shall be retained. If the landscaping is removed, the applicant shall submit a landscape plan to the Community Development Department for approval. The landscape plan will include the specific number of plants of each type and their size, as well as the irrigation system to be utilized. The new front yard landscaping will be required to be installed prior to final building occupancy.
7. Prior to issuance of building permit, all Planning fees associated with permit #­13-181 shall be paid in full.
8. Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant must provide documentation of plan approval by the following entities: Santa Cruz County Sanitation Department, Soquel Creek Water District, and Central Fire Protection District.
9. Prior to issuance of building permits, a drainage plan, grading, sediment and erosion control plan, shall be submitted to the City and approved by Public Works. The plans shall be in compliance with the requirements specified in Capitola Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 Storm Water Pollution Prevention and Protection.
10. Prior to issuance of building permits, the applicant shall submit a stormwater management plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works which implements all applicable Post Construction Requirements (PCRs) and Public Works Standard Details, including all standards relating to low impact development (LID).
11. Prior to issuance of building permits, the building plans must show that the garage complies with the firewall standards of the IBC.
12. Prior to any land disturbance, a pre-site inspection must be conducted by the grading official to verify compliance with the approved erosion and sediment control plan.
13. Prior to any work in the City road right of way, an encroachment permit shall be acquired by the contractor performing the work. No material or equipment storage may be placed in the road right-of-way.
14. During construction, any construction activity shall be subject to a construction noise curfew, except when otherwise specified in the building permit issued by the City. Construction noise shall be prohibited between the hours of 9 p.m. and 7:30 a.m. on weekdays. Construction noise shall be prohibited on weekends with the exception of Saturday work between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. or emergency work approved by the building official. §9.12.010B
15. Prior to a project final, all cracked or broken driveway approaches, curb, gutter, or sidewalk shall be replaced per the Public Works Standard Details and to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department. All replaced driveway approaches, curb, gutter or sidewalk shall meet current Accessibility Standards.
16. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, compliance with all conditions of approval shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director. Upon evidence of non-compliance with conditions of approval or applicable municipal code provisions, the applicant shall remedy the non-compliance to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director or shall file an application for a permit amendment for Planning Commission consideration. Failure to remedy a non-compliance in a timely manner may result in permit revocation.
17. This permit shall expire 24 months from the date of issuance. The applicant shall have an approved building permit and construction underway before this date to prevent permit expiration. Applications for extension may be submitted by the applicant prior to expiration pursuant to Municipal Code section 17.81.160.
18. The planning and infrastructure review and approval are transferable with the title to the underlying property so that an approved project may be conveyed or assigned by the applicant to others without losing the approval. The permit cannot be transferred off the site on which the approval was granted.
19. Upon receipt of certificate of occupancy, garbage and recycling containers shall be placed out of public view on non-collection days.

**FINDINGS**

1. **The application, subject to the conditions imposed, will secure the purposes of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan, and Local Coastal Plan.**

 Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the addition to the single family home. The project conforms to the development standards of the R-1 (Single-Family) Zoning Districts. Conditions of approval have been included to carry out the objectives of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan and Local Coastal Plan.

1. **The application will maintain the character and integrity of the neighborhood.**

 Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the addition to the single-family home. The project conforms to the development standards of the R-1 (Single-Family) Zoning Districts. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure that the project maintains the character and integrity of the neighborhood. The proposed addition to the single-family residence compliments the existing single-family homes in the neighborhood in use, mass and scale, materials, height, and architecture.

1. **This project is categorically exempt under Section 15301(e) of the California Environmental Quality Act and is not subject to Section 753.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.**

Section 15301(e) of the CEQA Guidelines exempts additions to existing structures provided that the addition in under 10,000 square feet and not located in an environmentally sensitive area. This project involves a remodel to an existing home located in the single family residential (R-1) zoning district. No adverse environmental impacts were discovered during review of the proposed project.

**COASTAL FINDINGS**

***D. Findings Required. A coastal permit shall be granted only upon adoption of specific written factual findings supporting the conclusion that the proposed development conforms to the certified Local Coastal Program, including, but not limited to:***

* The proposed development conforms to the City’s certified Local Coastal Plan (LCP). The specific, factual findings, as per CMC Section 17.46.090 (D) are as follows:

***(D) (2) Require Project-Specific Findings. In determining any requirement for public access, including the type of access and character of use, the city shall evaluate and document in written findings the factors identified in subsections (D) (2) (a) through (e), to the extent applicable. The findings shall explain the basis for the conclusions and decisions of the city and shall be supported by substantial evidence in the record. If an access dedication is required as a condition of approval, the findings shall explain how the adverse effects which have been identified will be alleviated or mitigated by the dedication. As used in this section, “cumulative effect” means the effect of the individual project in combination with the effects of past projects, other current projects, and probable future projects, including development allowed under applicable planning and zoning.***

***(D) (2) (a) Project Effects on Demand for Access and Recreation. Identification of existing and open public access and coastal recreation areas and facilities in the regional and local vicinity of the development. Analysis of the project’s effects upon existing public access and recreation opportunities. Analysis of the project’s cumulative effects upon the use and capacity of the identified access and recreation opportunities, including public tidelands and beach resources, and upon the capacity of major coastal roads from subdivision, intensification or cumulative build-out. Projection for the anticipated demand and need for increased coastal access and recreation opportunities for the public. Analysis of the contribution of the project’s cumulative effects to any such projected increase. Description of the physical characteristics of the site and its proximity to the sea, tideland viewing points, upland recreation areas, and trail linkages to tidelands or recreation areas. Analysis of the importance and potential of the site, because of its location or other characteristics, for creating, preserving or enhancing public access to tidelands or public recreation opportunities;***

* The proposed project is located at 306 El Salto Drive. The home is not located in an area with coastal access. The home will not have an effect on public trails or beach access.

***(D) (2) (b) Shoreline Processes. Description of the existing shoreline conditions, including beach profile, accessibility and usability of the beach, history of erosion or accretion, character and sources of sand, wave and sand movement, presence of shoreline protective structures, location of the line of mean high tide during the season when the beach is at its narrowest (generally during the late winter) and the proximity of that line to existing structures, and any other factors which substantially characterize or affect the shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes and beach profile unrelated to the proposed development. Description and analysis of any reasonably likely changes, attributable to the primary and cumulative effects of the project, to: wave and sand movement affecting beaches in the vicinity of the project; the profile of the beach; the character, extent, accessibility and usability of the beach; and any other factors which characterize or affect beaches in the vicinity. Analysis of the effect of any identified changes of the project, alone or in combination with other anticipated changes, will have upon the ability of the public to use public tidelands and shoreline recreation areas;***

* The proposed project is located along El Salto Drive. No portion of the project is located along the shoreline or beach.

***(D) (2) (c) Historic Public Use. Evidence of use of the site by members of the general public for a continuous five-year period (such use may be seasonal). Evidence of the type and character of use made by the public (vertical, lateral, blufftop, etc., and for passive and/or active recreational use, etc.). Identification of any agency (or person) who has maintained and/or improved the area subject to historic public use and the nature of the maintenance performed and improvements made. Identification of the record owner of the area historically used by the public and any attempts by the owner to prohibit public use of the area, including the success or failure of those attempts. Description of the potential for adverse impact on public use of the area from the proposed development (including but not limited to, creation of physical or psychological impediments to public use);***

* There is no history of public use on the subject lot.
1. ***(2) (d) Physical Obstructions. Description of any physical aspects of the development which block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or other public coastal resources or to see the shoreline;***
* The proposed project is located on private property on El Salto Drive. The project will not block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or views to the shoreline.

 ***(D) (2) (e) Other Adverse Impacts on Access and Recreation. Description of the development’s physical proximity and relationship to the shoreline and any public recreation area. Analysis of the extent of which buildings, walls, signs, streets or other aspects of the development, individually or cumulatively, are likely to diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation. Description of any alteration of the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas, and of any diminution of the quality or amount of recreational use of public lands which may be attributable to the individual or cumulative effects of the development.***

* The proposed project is located on private property that will not impact access and recreation. The project does not diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation nor alter the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas.

 ***(D) (3) (a – c) Required Findings for Public Access Exceptions. Any determination that one of the exceptions of subsection (F) (2) applies to a development shall be supported by written findings of fact, analysis and conclusions which address all of the following:***

***a. The type of access potentially applicable to the site involved (vertical, lateral, bluff top, etc.) and its location in relation to the fragile coastal resource to be protected, the agricultural use, the public safety concern, or the military facility which is the basis for the exception, as applicable;***

***b. Unavailability of any mitigating measures to manage the type, character, intensity, hours, season or location of such use so that agricultural resources, fragile coastal resources, public safety, or military security, as applicable, are protected;***

***c. Ability of the public, through another reasonable means, to reach the same area of public tidelands as would be made accessible by an access way on the subject land.***

* The project is not requesting a Public Access Exception, therefore these findings do not apply

***(D) (4) (a – f) Findings for Management Plan Conditions. Written findings in support of a condition requiring a management plan for regulating the time and manner or character of public access use must address the following factors, as applicable:***

***a. Identification and protection of specific habitat values including the reasons supporting the conclusions that such values must be protected by limiting the hours, seasons, or character of public use;***

* The project is located in a residential area without sensitive habitat areas.

 ***b. Topographic constraints of the development site;***

* The project is located on a flat lot.

 ***c. Recreational needs of the public;***

* The project does not impact recreational needs of the public.

 ***d. Rights of privacy of the landowner which could not be mitigated by setting the project back from the access way or otherwise conditioning the development;***

***e. The requirements of the possible accepting agency, if an offer of dedication is the mechanism for securing public access;***

***f. Feasibility of adequate setbacks, fencing, landscaping, and other methods as part of a management plan to regulate public use.***

***(D) (5) Project complies with public access requirements, including submittal of appropriate legal documents to ensure the right of public access whenever, and as, required by the certified land use plan and Section 17.46.010 (coastal access requirements);***

* No legal documents to ensure public access rights are required for the proposed project

***(D) (6) Project complies with visitor-serving and recreational use policies;***

 *SEC. 30222*

 *The use of private lands suitable for visitor-serving commercial recreational facilities designed to enhance public opportunities for coastal recreation shall have priority over private residential, general industrial, or general commercial development, but not over agriculture or coastal-dependent industry.*

* The project involves a single family home on a residential lot of record.

 *SEC. 30223*

 *Upland areas necessary to support coastal recreational uses shall be reserved for such uses, where feasible.*

* The project involves a single family home on a residential lot of record.

***c) Visitor-serving facilities that cannot be feasibly located in existing developed areas shall be located in existing isolated developments or at selected points of attraction for visitors.***

* The project involves a single family home on a residential lot of record.

 ***(D) (7) Project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision of public and private parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements;***

* The project involves the construction of a single family home. The project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision for parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements.

***(D) (8) Review of project design, site plan, signing, lighting, landscaping, etc., by the city’s architectural and site review committee, and compliance with adopted design guidelines and standards, and review committee recommendations;***

* The project complies with the design guidelines and standards established by the Municipal Code.

***(D) (9) Project complies with LCP policies regarding protection of public landmarks, protection or provision of public views; and shall not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline;***

* The project will not negatively impact public landmarks and/or public views. The project will not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline.

***(D) (10) Demonstrated availability and adequacy of water and sewer services;***

* The project is located on a legal lot of record with available water and sewer services.

***(D) (11) Provisions of minimum water flow rates and fire response times;***

* The project is located within close proximity of the Central Fire Protection District. Water is available at the location.

 *(D) (12) Project complies with water and energy conservation standards;*

* The project is for a single family home. The GHG emissions for the project are projected at less than significant impact. All water fixtures must comply with the low-flow standards of the Soquel Creek Water District.

***(D) (13) Provision of park dedication, school impact, and other fees as may be required;***

* The project will be required to pay appropriate fees prior to building permit issuance.

***(D) (14) Project complies with coastal housing policies, and applicable ordinances including condominium conversion and mobile home ordinances;***

* The project does not involve a condo conversion or mobile homes.

***(D) (15) Project complies with natural resource, habitat, and archaeological protection policies;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with established policies.

***(D) (16) Project complies with Monarch butterfly habitat protection policies;***

* The project is outside of any identified sensitive habitats, specifically areas where Monarch Butterflies have been encountered, identified and documented.

***(D) (17) Project provides drainage and erosion and control measures to protect marine, stream, and wetland water quality from urban runoff and erosion;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with applicable erosion control measures.

***(D) (18) Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professional for projects in seismic areas, geologically unstable areas, or coastal bluffs, and project complies with hazard protection policies including provision of appropriate setbacks and mitigation measures;***

* Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professionals for this project. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project applicant shall comply with all applicable requirements of the most recent version of the California Building Standards Code.

***(D) (19) All other geological, flood and fire hazards are accounted for and mitigated in the project design;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project complies with geological, flood, and fire hazards and are accounted for and will be mitigated in the project design.

***(D) (20) Project complies with shoreline structure policies;***

* The proposed project is not located along a shoreline.

***(D) (21) The uses proposed are consistent with the permitted or conditional uses of the zoning district in which the project is located;***

* This use is an allowed use consistent with the Single Family zoning district.

***(D) (22) Conformance to requirements of all other city ordinances, zoning requirements, and project review procedures;***

* The project conforms to the requirements of all city ordinances, zoning requirements and project development review and development procedures.

***(D) (23) Project complies with the Capitola parking permit program as follows:***

* The project site is located within the area of the Capitola parking permit program.

**The motion carried by the following vote: Aye: Commissioners Graves, Smith, and Welch and Chairperson Ortiz. No: None. Abstain: None.**

**C.** **4605 Emerald Street      #14-011      APN: 034-032-15**

Design Permit and Coastal Development Permit application to demolish an existing accessory dwelling unit and construct a new single-family home, located in the R-1(Single Family) zoning district.
This project requires a Coastal Development Permit which is not appealable to the California Coastal Commission.

Environmental Determination: Categorical Exemption
Property Owner: North Point Investments LLC
Representative: Wayne Miller, filed 01/27/2014

**A motion to approve project application #14-011 for a Design Permit and Coastal Development Permit with the following conditions and findings was made by Commissioner Graves and seconded by Commissioner Smith:**

### CONDITIONS

1. The project approval consists of construction of a 1,824 square-foot single-family home. The maximum Floor Area Ratio for the 3200 square foot property is 57% (1,824 square feet). The total FAR of the project is 57% with a total of 1,824 square feet, compliant with the maximum FAR within the zone. The proposed project is approved as indicated on the final plans reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on March 6, 2014, except as modified through conditions imposed by the Planning Commission during the hearing.
2. Prior to construction, a building permit shall be secured for any new construction or modifications to structures authorized by this permit. Final building plans shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Planning Commission. All construction and site improvements shall be completed according to the approved plans.
3. At time of submittal for building permit review, the Conditions of Approval must be printed in full on the cover sheet of the construction plans.
4. At time of submittal for building permit, plans must show compliance with curb and gutter requirements and fire sprinkler requirements. Existing overhead utility lines are required to be placed underground to the nearest utility pole.
5. At time of submittal for building permit review, Public Works Standard Detail SMP STRM shall be printed in full and incorporated as a sheet into the construction plans. All construction shall be done in accordance with the Public Works Standard Detail BMP STRM.
6. Prior to making any changes to approved plans, modifications must be specifically requested and submitted in writing to the Community Development Department. Any significant changes to the size or exterior appearance of the structure shall require Planning Commission approval.
7. Prior to issuance of building permit, the existing structure located at 4605 Emerald Street must be completely removed from the site.
8. Prior to issuance of building permit, a final landscape plan shall be submitted and approved by the Community Development Department. Landscape plans shall reflect the Planning Commission approval and shall identify type, size, and location of species and details of the irrigation systems.
9. Prior to issuance of building permit, all Planning fees associated with permit #14-011 shall be paid in full.
10. Prior to issuance of building permit, Affordable housing in-lieu fees shall be paid as required to assure compliance with the City of Capitola Affordable (Inclusionary) Housing Ordinance.
11. Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant must provide documentation of plan approval by the following entities: Santa Cruz County Sanitation Department, Soquel Water District, and Central Fire Protection District.
12. Prior to issuance of building permits, a drainage plan, grading, sediment and erosion control plan, shall be submitted to the City and approved by Public Works. The plans shall be in compliance with the requirements specified in Capitola Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 Storm Water Pollution Prevention and Protection.
13. Prior to issuance of building permits, the applicant shall submit a stormwater management plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works which implements all applicable Post Construction Requirements (PCRs) and Public Works Standard Details, including all standards relating to low impact development (LID).
14. Prior to any land disturbance, a pre-site inspection must be conducted by the grading official to verify compliance with the approved erosion and sediment control plan.
15. Prior to any work in the City road right of way, an encroachment permit shall be acquired by the contractor performing the work. No material or equipment storage may be placed in the road right-of-way.
16. During construction, any construction activity shall be subject to a construction noise curfew, except when otherwise specified in the building permit issued by the City. Construction noise shall be prohibited between the hours of 9 p.m. and 7:30 a.m. on weekdays. Construction noise shall be prohibited on weekends with the exception of Saturday work between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. or emergency work approved by the building official. §9.12.010B
17. Prior to a project final, all cracked or broken driveway approaches, curb, gutter, or sidewalk shall be replaced per the Public Works Standard Details and to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department. All replaced driveway approaches, curb, gutter or sidewalk shall meet current Accessibility Standards.
18. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, compliance with all conditions of approval shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director. Upon evidence of non-compliance with conditions of approval or applicable municipal code provisions, the applicant shall remedy the non-compliance to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director or shall file an application for a permit amendment for Planning Commission consideration. Failure to remedy a non-compliance in a timely manner may result in permit revocation.
19. This permit shall expire 24 months from the date of issuance. The applicant shall have an approved building permit and construction underway before this date to prevent permit expiration. Applications for extension may be submitted by the applicant prior to expiration pursuant to Municipal Code section 17.81.160.
20. The planning and infrastructure review and approval are transferable with the title to the underlying property so that an approved project may be conveyed or assigned by the applicant to others without losing the approval. The permit cannot be transferred off the site on which the approval was granted.
21. Upon receipt of certificate of occupancy, garbage and recycling containers shall be placed out of public view on non-collection days.

**FINDINGS**

1. **The application, subject to the conditions imposed, will secure the purposes of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan, and Local Coastal Plan.**

 Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the new single-family home. The project conforms to the development standards of the R-1 (Single-Family) Zoning Districts. Conditions of approval have been included to carry out the objectives of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan and Local Coastal Plan.

1. **The application will maintain the character and integrity of the neighborhood.**

 Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the new single-family home. The project conforms to the development standards of the R-1 (Single-Family) Zoning Districts. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure that the project maintains the character and integrity of the neighborhood. The proposed single-family residence compliments the existing single-family homes in the neighborhood in use, mass and scale, materials, height, and architecture.

1. **This project is categorically exempt under Section 15303(a) of the California Environmental Quality Act and is not subject to Section 753.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.**

Section 15303(a) of the CEQA Guidelines exempts the construction of a single-family residence in a residential zone. This project involves construction of a new single-family residence subject to the R-1 (single-family residence) Zoning District. No adverse environmental impacts were discovered during review of the proposed project.

**COASTAL FINDINGS**

***D. Findings Required. A coastal permit shall be granted only upon adoption of specific written factual findings supporting the conclusion that the proposed development conforms to the certified Local Coastal Program, including, but not limited to:***

* The proposed development conforms to the City’s certified Local Coastal Plan (LCP). The specific, factual findings, as per CMC Section 17.46.090 (D) are as follows:

***(D) (2) Require Project-Specific Findings. In determining any requirement for public access, including the type of access and character of use, the city shall evaluate and document in written findings the factors identified in subsections (D) (2) (a) through (e), to the extent applicable. The findings shall explain the basis for the conclusions and decisions of the city and shall be supported by substantial evidence in the record. If an access dedication is required as a condition of approval, the findings shall explain how the adverse effects which have been identified will be alleviated or mitigated by the dedication. As used in this section, “cumulative effect” means the effect of the individual project in combination with the effects of past projects, other current projects, and probable future projects, including development allowed under applicable planning and zoning.***

***(D) (2) (a) Project Effects on Demand for Access and Recreation. Identification of existing and open public access and coastal recreation areas and facilities in the regional and local vicinity of the development. Analysis of the project’s effects upon existing public access and recreation opportunities. Analysis of the project’s cumulative effects upon the use and capacity of the identified access and recreation opportunities, including public tidelands and beach resources, and upon the capacity of major coastal roads from subdivision, intensification or cumulative build-out. Projection for the anticipated demand and need for increased coastal access and recreation opportunities for the public. Analysis of the contribution of the project’s cumulative effects to any such projected increase. Description of the physical characteristics of the site and its proximity to the sea, tideland viewing points, upland recreation areas, and trail linkages to tidelands or recreation areas. Analysis of the importance and potential of the site, because of its location or other characteristics, for creating, preserving or enhancing public access to tidelands or public recreation opportunities;***

* The proposed project is located at 4605 Emerald Street. The home is not located in an area with coastal access. The home will not have an effect on public trails or beach access.

***(D) (2) (b) Shoreline Processes. Description of the existing shoreline conditions, including beach profile, accessibility and usability of the beach, history of erosion or accretion, character and sources of sand, wave and sand movement, presence of shoreline protective structures, location of the line of mean high tide during the season when the beach is at its narrowest (generally during the late winter) and the proximity of that line to existing structures, and any other factors which substantially characterize or affect the shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes and beach profile unrelated to the proposed development. Description and analysis of any reasonably likely changes, attributable to the primary and cumulative effects of the project, to: wave and sand movement affecting beaches in the vicinity of the project; the profile of the beach; the character, extent, accessibility and usability of the beach; and any other factors which characterize or affect beaches in the vicinity. Analysis of the effect of any identified changes of the project, alone or in combination with other anticipated changes, will have upon the ability of the public to use public tidelands and shoreline recreation areas;***

* The proposed project is located along Emerald Street. No portion of the project is located along the shoreline or beach.

***(D) (2) (c) Historic Public Use. Evidence of use of the site by members of the general public for a continuous five-year period (such use may be seasonal). Evidence of the type and character of use made by the public (vertical, lateral, blufftop, etc., and for passive and/or active recreational use, etc.). Identification of any agency (or person) who has maintained and/or improved the area subject to historic public use and the nature of the maintenance performed and improvements made. Identification of the record owner of the area historically used by the public and any attempts by the owner to prohibit public use of the area, including the success or failure of those attempts. Description of the potential for adverse impact on public use of the area from the proposed development (including but not limited to, creation of physical or psychological impediments to public use);***

* There is no history of public use on the subject lot.
1. ***(2) (d) Physical Obstructions. Description of any physical aspects of the development which block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or other public coastal resources or to see the shoreline;***
* The proposed project is located on private property on Emerald Street. The project will not block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or views to the shoreline.

 ***(D) (2) (e) Other Adverse Impacts on Access and Recreation. Description of the development’s physical proximity and relationship to the shoreline and any public recreation area. Analysis of the extent of which buildings, walls, signs, streets or other aspects of the development, individually or cumulatively, are likely to diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation. Description of any alteration of the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas, and of any diminution of the quality or amount of recreational use of public lands which may be attributable to the individual or cumulative effects of the development.***

* The proposed project is located on private property that will not impact access and recreation. The project does not diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation nor alter the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas.

 ***(D) (3) (a – c) Required Findings for Public Access Exceptions. Any determination that one of the exceptions of subsection (F) (2) applies to a development shall be supported by written findings of fact, analysis and conclusions which address all of the following:***

***a. The type of access potentially applicable to the site involved (vertical, lateral, bluff top, etc.) and its location in relation to the fragile coastal resource to be protected, the agricultural use, the public safety concern, or the military facility which is the basis for the exception, as applicable;***

***b. Unavailability of any mitigating measures to manage the type, character, intensity, hours, season or location of such use so that agricultural resources, fragile coastal resources, public safety, or military security, as applicable, are protected;***

***c. Ability of the public, through another reasonable means, to reach the same area of public tidelands as would be made accessible by an access way on the subject land.***

* The project is not requesting a Public Access Exception, therefore these findings do not apply

***(D) (4) (a – f) Findings for Management Plan Conditions. Written findings in support of a condition requiring a management plan for regulating the time and manner or character of public access use must address the following factors, as applicable:***

***a. Identification and protection of specific habitat values including the reasons supporting the conclusions that such values must be protected by limiting the hours, seasons, or character of public use;***

* The project is located in a residential area without sensitive habitat areas.

 ***b. Topographic constraints of the development site;***

* The project is located on a flat lot.

 ***c. Recreational needs of the public;***

* The project does not impact recreational needs of the public.

 ***d. Rights of privacy of the landowner which could not be mitigated by setting the project back from the access way or otherwise conditioning the development;***

***e. The requirements of the possible accepting agency, if an offer of dedication is the mechanism for securing public access;***

***f. Feasibility of adequate setbacks, fencing, landscaping, and other methods as part of a management plan to regulate public use.***

***(D) (5) Project complies with public access requirements, including submittal of appropriate legal documents to ensure the right of public access whenever, and as, required by the certified land use plan and Section 17.46.010 (coastal access requirements);***

* No legal documents to ensure public access rights are required for the proposed project

***(D) (6) Project complies with visitor-serving and recreational use policies;***

 *SEC. 30222*

 *The use of private lands suitable for visitor-serving commercial recreational facilities designed to enhance public opportunities for coastal recreation shall have priority over private residential, general industrial, or general commercial development, but not over agriculture or coastal-dependent industry.*

* The project involves a single-family home on a residential lot of record.

 *SEC. 30223*

 *Upland areas necessary to support coastal recreational uses shall be reserved for such uses, where feasible.*

* The project involves a single-family home on a residential lot of record.

***c) Visitor-serving facilities that cannot be feasibly located in existing developed areas shall be located in existing isolated developments or at selected points of attraction for visitors.***

* The project involves a single-family home on a residential lot of record.

 ***(D) (7) Project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision of public and private parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements;***

* The project involves the construction of a single-family home. The project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision for parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements.

***(D) (8) Review of project design, site plan, signing, lighting, landscaping, etc., by the city’s architectural and site review committee, and compliance with adopted design guidelines and standards, and review committee recommendations;***

* The project complies with the design guidelines and standards established by the Municipal Code.

***(D) (9) Project complies with LCP policies regarding protection of public landmarks, protection or provision of public views; and shall not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline;***

* The project will not negatively impact public landmarks and/or public views. The project will not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline.

***(D) (10) Demonstrated availability and adequacy of water and sewer services;***

* The project is located on a legal lot of record with available water and sewer services.

***(D) (11) Provisions of minimum water flow rates and fire response times;***

* The project is located within close proximity of the Central Fire Protection District. Water is available at the location.

 *(D) (12) Project complies with water and energy conservation standards;*

* The project is for a single family home. The GHG emissions for the project are projected at less than significant impact. All water fixtures must comply with the low-flow standards of the Soquel Creek Water District.

***(D) (13) Provision of park dedication, school impact, and other fees as may be required;***

* The project will be required to pay appropriate fees prior to building permit issuance.

***(D) (14) Project complies with coastal housing policies, and applicable ordinances including condominium conversion and mobile home ordinances;***

* The project does not involve a condo conversion or mobile homes.

***(D) (15) Project complies with natural resource, habitat, and archaeological protection policies;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with established policies.

***(D) (16) Project complies with Monarch butterfly habitat protection policies;***

* The project is outside of any identified sensitive habitats, specifically areas where Monarch Butterflies have been encountered, identified and documented.

***(D) (17) Project provides drainage and erosion and control measures to protect marine, stream, and wetland water quality from urban runoff and erosion;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with applicable erosion control measures.

***(D) (18) Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professional for projects in seismic areas, geologically unstable areas, or coastal bluffs, and project complies with hazard protection policies including provision of appropriate setbacks and mitigation measures;***

* Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professionals for this project. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project applicant shall comply with all applicable requirements of the most recent version of the California Building Standards Code.

***(D) (19) All other geological, flood and fire hazards are accounted for and mitigated in the project design;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project complies with geological, flood, and fire hazards and are accounted for and will be mitigated in the project design.

***(D) (20) Project complies with shoreline structure policies;***

* The proposed project is not located along a shoreline.

***(D) (21) The uses proposed are consistent with the permitted or conditional uses of the zoning district in which the project is located;***

* This use is an allowed use consistent with the R-1 Single Family zoning district.

***(D) (22) Conformance to requirements of all other city ordinances, zoning requirements, and project review procedures;***

* The project conforms to the requirements of all city ordinances, zoning requirements and project development review and development procedures.

***(D) (23) Project complies with the Capitola parking permit program as follows:***

* The project site is not located within the area of the Capitola parking permit program.

**The motion carried by the following vote: Aye: Commissioners Graves, Smith, and Welch and Chairperson Ortiz. No: None. Abstain: None.**

**D.** **4625 Emerald Street      #14-012      APN: 034-032-22**

Design Permit and Coastal Development Permit application to demolish an existing single family residence and construct a new single-family home, located in the R-1(Single Family) zoning district.
This project requires a Coastal Development Permit which is not appealable to the California Coastal Commission.
Environmental Determination: Categorical Exemption
Property Owner: North Point Investments LLC
Representative: Wayne Miller, filed 01/27/2014

**A motion to approve project application #14-012 for a Design Permit and Coastal Development Permit with the following conditions and findings was made by Commissioner Graves and seconded by Commissioner Smith:**

### CONDITIONS

1. The project approval consists of construction of a 1,824 square-foot single-family home. The maximum Floor Area Ratio for the 3200 square foot property is 57% (1,824 square feet). The total FAR of the project is 57% with a total of 1,824 square feet, compliant with the maximum FAR within the zone. The proposed project is approved as indicated on the final plans reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on March 6, 2014, except as modified through conditions imposed by the Planning Commission during the hearing.
2. Prior to construction, a building permit shall be secured for any new construction or modifications to structures authorized by this permit. Final building plans shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Planning Commission. All construction and site improvements shall be completed according to the approved plans.
3. At time of submittal for building permit review, the Conditions of Approval must be printed in full on the cover sheet of the construction plans.
4. At time of submittal for building permit, plans must show compliance with curb and gutter requirements and fire sprinkler requirements. Existing overhead utility lines are required to be placed underground to the nearest utility pole.
5. At time of submittal for building permit review, Public Works Standard Detail SMP STRM shall be printed in full and incorporated as a sheet into the construction plans. All construction shall be done in accordance with the Public Works Standard Detail BMP STRM.
6. Prior to making any changes to approved plans, modifications must be specifically requested and submitted in writing to the Community Development Department. Any significant changes to the size or exterior appearance of the structure shall require Planning Commission approval.
7. Prior to issuance of building permit, the existing secondary dwelling unit and the encroachment from the neighboring single-family home at 4605 Emerald Street must be removed from the property.
8. Prior to issuance of building permit, a final landscape plan shall be submitted and approved by the Community Development Department. Landscape plans shall reflect the Planning Commission approval and shall identify type, size, and location of species and details of the irrigation systems.
9. Prior to issuance of building permit, all Planning fees associated with permit #14-012 shall be paid in full.
10. Prior to issuance of building permit, Affordable housing in-lieu fees shall be paid as required to assure compliance with the City of Capitola Affordable (Inclusionary) Housing Ordinance.
11. Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant must provide documentation of plan approval by the following entities: Santa Cruz County Sanitation Department, Soquel Water District, and Central Fire Protection District.
12. Prior to issuance of building permits, a drainage plan, grading, sediment and erosion control plan, shall be submitted to the City and approved by Public Works. The plans shall be in compliance with the requirements specified in Capitola Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 Storm Water Pollution Prevention and Protection.
13. Prior to issuance of building permits, the applicant shall submit a stormwater management plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works which implements all applicable Post Construction Requirements (PCRs) and Public Works Standard Details, including all standards relating to low impact development (LID).
14. Prior to any land disturbance, a pre-site inspection must be conducted by the grading official to verify compliance with the approved erosion and sediment control plan.
15. Prior to any work in the City road right of way, an encroachment permit shall be acquired by the contractor performing the work. No material or equipment storage may be placed in the road right-of-way.
16. During construction, any construction activity shall be subject to a construction noise curfew, except when otherwise specified in the building permit issued by the City. Construction noise shall be prohibited between the hours of 9 p.m. and 7:30 a.m. on weekdays. Construction noise shall be prohibited on weekends with the exception of Saturday work between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. or emergency work approved by the building official. §9.12.010B
17. Prior to a project final, all cracked or broken driveway approaches, curb, gutter, or sidewalk shall be replaced per the Public Works Standard Details and to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department. All replaced driveway approaches, curb, gutter or sidewalk shall meet current Accessibility Standards.
18. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, compliance with all conditions of approval shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director. Upon evidence of non-compliance with conditions of approval or applicable municipal code provisions, the applicant shall remedy the non-compliance to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director or shall file an application for a permit amendment for Planning Commission consideration. Failure to remedy a non-compliance in a timely manner may result in permit revocation.
19. This permit shall expire 24 months from the date of issuance. The applicant shall have an approved building permit and construction underway before this date to prevent permit expiration. Applications for extension may be submitted by the applicant prior to expiration pursuant to Municipal Code section 17.81.160.
20. The planning and infrastructure review and approval are transferable with the title to the underlying property so that an approved project may be conveyed or assigned by the applicant to others without losing the approval. The permit cannot be transferred off the site on which the approval was granted.
21. Upon receipt of certificate of occupancy, garbage and recycling containers shall be placed out of public view on non-collection days.

**FINDINGS**

1. **The application, subject to the conditions imposed, will secure the purposes of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan, and Local Coastal Plan.**

 Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the new single-family home. The project conforms to the development standards of the R-1 (Single-Family) Zoning Districts. Conditions of approval have been included to carry out the objectives of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan and Local Coastal Plan.

1. **The application will maintain the character and integrity of the neighborhood.**

 Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the new single-family home. The project conforms to the development standards of the R-1 (Single-Family) Zoning Districts. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure that the project maintains the character and integrity of the neighborhood. The proposed single-family residence compliments the existing single-family homes in the neighborhood in use, mass and scale, materials, height, and architecture.

1. **This project is categorically exempt under Section 15303(a) of the California Environmental Quality Act and is not subject to Section 753.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.**

Section 15303(a) of the CEQA Guidelines exempts the construction of a single-family residence in a residential zone. This project involves construction of a new single-family residence subject to the R-1 (single-family residence) Zoning District. No adverse environmental impacts were discovered during review of the proposed project.

**COASTAL FINDINGS**

***D. Findings Required. A coastal permit shall be granted only upon adoption of specific written factual findings supporting the conclusion that the proposed development conforms to the certified Local Coastal Program, including, but not limited to:***

* The proposed development conforms to the City’s certified Local Coastal Plan (LCP). The specific, factual findings, as per CMC Section 17.46.090 (D) are as follows:

***(D) (2) Require Project-Specific Findings. In determining any requirement for public access, including the type of access and character of use, the city shall evaluate and document in written findings the factors identified in subsections (D) (2) (a) through (e), to the extent applicable. The findings shall explain the basis for the conclusions and decisions of the city and shall be supported by substantial evidence in the record. If an access dedication is required as a condition of approval, the findings shall explain how the adverse effects which have been identified will be alleviated or mitigated by the dedication. As used in this section, “cumulative effect” means the effect of the individual project in combination with the effects of past projects, other current projects, and probable future projects, including development allowed under applicable planning and zoning.***

***(D) (2) (a) Project Effects on Demand for Access and Recreation. Identification of existing and open public access and coastal recreation areas and facilities in the regional and local vicinity of the development. Analysis of the project’s effects upon existing public access and recreation opportunities. Analysis of the project’s cumulative effects upon the use and capacity of the identified access and recreation opportunities, including public tidelands and beach resources, and upon the capacity of major coastal roads from subdivision, intensification or cumulative build-out. Projection for the anticipated demand and need for increased coastal access and recreation opportunities for the public. Analysis of the contribution of the project’s cumulative effects to any such projected increase. Description of the physical characteristics of the site and its proximity to the sea, tideland viewing points, upland recreation areas, and trail linkages to tidelands or recreation areas. Analysis of the importance and potential of the site, because of its location or other characteristics, for creating, preserving or enhancing public access to tidelands or public recreation opportunities;***

* The proposed project is located at 4625 Emerald Street. The home is not located in an area with coastal access. The home will not have an effect on public trails or beach access.

***(D) (2) (b) Shoreline Processes. Description of the existing shoreline conditions, including beach profile, accessibility and usability of the beach, history of erosion or accretion, character and sources of sand, wave and sand movement, presence of shoreline protective structures, location of the line of mean high tide during the season when the beach is at its narrowest (generally during the late winter) and the proximity of that line to existing structures, and any other factors which substantially characterize or affect the shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes and beach profile unrelated to the proposed development. Description and analysis of any reasonably likely changes, attributable to the primary and cumulative effects of the project, to: wave and sand movement affecting beaches in the vicinity of the project; the profile of the beach; the character, extent, accessibility and usability of the beach; and any other factors which characterize or affect beaches in the vicinity. Analysis of the effect of any identified changes of the project, alone or in combination with other anticipated changes, will have upon the ability of the public to use public tidelands and shoreline recreation areas;***

* The proposed project is located along Emerald Street. No portion of the project is located along the shoreline or beach.

***(D) (2) (c) Historic Public Use. Evidence of use of the site by members of the general public for a continuous five-year period (such use may be seasonal). Evidence of the type and character of use made by the public (vertical, lateral, blufftop, etc., and for passive and/or active recreational use, etc.). Identification of any agency (or person) who has maintained and/or improved the area subject to historic public use and the nature of the maintenance performed and improvements made. Identification of the record owner of the area historically used by the public and any attempts by the owner to prohibit public use of the area, including the success or failure of those attempts. Description of the potential for adverse impact on public use of the area from the proposed development (including but not limited to, creation of physical or psychological impediments to public use);***

* There is no history of public use on the subject lot.
1. ***(2) (d) Physical Obstructions. Description of any physical aspects of the development which block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or other public coastal resources or to see the shoreline;***
* The proposed project is located on private property on Emerald Street. The project will not block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or views to the shoreline.

 ***(D) (2) (e) Other Adverse Impacts on Access and Recreation. Description of the development’s physical proximity and relationship to the shoreline and any public recreation area. Analysis of the extent of which buildings, walls, signs, streets or other aspects of the development, individually or cumulatively, are likely to diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation. Description of any alteration of the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas, and of any diminution of the quality or amount of recreational use of public lands which may be attributable to the individual or cumulative effects of the development.***

* The proposed project is located on private property that will not impact access and recreation. The project does not diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation nor alter the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas.

 ***(D) (3) (a – c) Required Findings for Public Access Exceptions. Any determination that one of the exceptions of subsection (F) (2) applies to a development shall be supported by written findings of fact, analysis and conclusions which address all of the following:***

***a. The type of access potentially applicable to the site involved (vertical, lateral, bluff top, etc.) and its location in relation to the fragile coastal resource to be protected, the agricultural use, the public safety concern, or the military facility which is the basis for the exception, as applicable;***

***b. Unavailability of any mitigating measures to manage the type, character, intensity, hours, season or location of such use so that agricultural resources, fragile coastal resources, public safety, or military security, as applicable, are protected;***

***c. Ability of the public, through another reasonable means, to reach the same area of public tidelands as would be made accessible by an access way on the subject land.***

* The project is not requesting a Public Access Exception, therefore these findings do not apply

***(D) (4) (a – f) Findings for Management Plan Conditions. Written findings in support of a condition requiring a management plan for regulating the time and manner or character of public access use must address the following factors, as applicable:***

***a. Identification and protection of specific habitat values including the reasons supporting the conclusions that such values must be protected by limiting the hours, seasons, or character of public use;***

* The project is located in a residential area without sensitive habitat areas.

 ***b. Topographic constraints of the development site;***

* The project is located on a flat lot.

 ***c. Recreational needs of the public;***

* The project does not impact recreational needs of the public.

 ***d. Rights of privacy of the landowner which could not be mitigated by setting the project back from the access way or otherwise conditioning the development;***

***e. The requirements of the possible accepting agency, if an offer of dedication is the mechanism for securing public access;***

***f. Feasibility of adequate setbacks, fencing, landscaping, and other methods as part of a management plan to regulate public use.***

***(D) (5) Project complies with public access requirements, including submittal of appropriate legal documents to ensure the right of public access whenever, and as, required by the certified land use plan and Section 17.46.010 (coastal access requirements);***

* No legal documents to ensure public access rights are required for the proposed project

***(D) (6) Project complies with visitor-serving and recreational use policies;***

 *SEC. 30222*

 *The use of private lands suitable for visitor-serving commercial recreational facilities designed to enhance public opportunities for coastal recreation shall have priority over private residential, general industrial, or general commercial development, but not over agriculture or coastal-dependent industry.*

* The project involves a single-family home on a residential lot of record.

 *SEC. 30223*

 *Upland areas necessary to support coastal recreational uses shall be reserved for such uses, where feasible.*

* The project involves a single-family home on a residential lot of record.

***c) Visitor-serving facilities that cannot be feasibly located in existing developed areas shall be located in existing isolated developments or at selected points of attraction for visitors.***

* The project involves a single-family home on a residential lot of record.

 ***(D) (7) Project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision of public and private parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements;***

* The project involves the construction of a single-family home. The project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision for parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements.

***(D) (8) Review of project design, site plan, signing, lighting, landscaping, etc., by the city’s architectural and site review committee, and compliance with adopted design guidelines and standards, and review committee recommendations;***

* The project complies with the design guidelines and standards established by the Municipal Code.

***(D) (9) Project complies with LCP policies regarding protection of public landmarks, protection or provision of public views; and shall not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline;***

* The project will not negatively impact public landmarks and/or public views. The project will not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline.

***(D) (10) Demonstrated availability and adequacy of water and sewer services;***

* The project is located on a legal lot of record with available water and sewer services.

***(D) (11) Provisions of minimum water flow rates and fire response times;***

* The project is located within close proximity of the Central Fire District. Water is available at the location.

 *(D) (12) Project complies with water and energy conservation standards;*

* The project is for a single-family home. The GHG emissions for the project are projected at less than significant impact. All water fixtures must comply with the low-flow standards of the Soquel Creek Water District.

***(D) (13) Provision of park dedication, school impact, and other fees as may be required;***

* The project will be required to pay appropriate fees prior to building permit issuance.

***(D) (14) Project complies with coastal housing policies, and applicable ordinances including condominium conversion and mobile home ordinances;***

* The project does not involve a condo conversion or mobile homes.

***(D) (15) Project complies with natural resource, habitat, and archaeological protection policies;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with established policies.

***(D) (16) Project complies with Monarch butterfly habitat protection policies;***

* The project is outside of any identified sensitive habitats, specifically areas where Monarch Butterflies have been encountered, identified and documented.

***(D) (17) Project provides drainage and erosion and control measures to protect marine, stream, and wetland water quality from urban runoff and erosion;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with applicable erosion control measures.

***(D) (18) Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professional for projects in seismic areas, geologically unstable areas, or coastal bluffs, and project complies with hazard protection policies including provision of appropriate setbacks and mitigation measures;***

* Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professionals for this project. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project applicant shall comply with all applicable requirements of the most recent version of the California Building Standards Code.

***(D) (19) All other geological, flood and fire hazards are accounted for and mitigated in the project design;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project complies with geological, flood, and fire hazards and are accounted for and will be mitigated in the project design.

***(D) (20) Project complies with shoreline structure policies;***

* The proposed project is not located along a shoreline.

***(D) (21) The uses proposed are consistent with the permitted or conditional uses of the zoning district in which the project is located;***

* This use is an allowed use consistent with the R-1 (Single family) zoning district.

***(D) (22) Conformance to requirements of all other city ordinances, zoning requirements, and project review procedures;***

* The project conforms to the requirements of all city ordinances, zoning requirements and project development review and development procedures.

***(D) (23) Project complies with the Capitola parking permit program as follows:***

* The project site is not located within the area of the Capitola parking permit program.

**The motion carried by the following vote: Aye: Commissioners Graves, Smith, and Welch and Chairperson Ortiz. No: None. Abstain: None.**

**E.** **507 Plum Street/712 Capitola Avenue      #14-020      APN: 036-062-14**

Design Permit and Coastal Development Permit application for a garage addition to a single family home in the CN (Central Neighborhood) Zoning District.
This project requires a Coastal Development Permit which is not appealable to the California Coastal Commission.
Environmental Determination: Categorical Exemption
Property Owner: Terry Evan David
Representative: Dennis Norton, filed 02/04/2014

This item was pulled from consent at the request of the applicant and heard following #13-174.

Commissioners said they were familiar with the project and waived presentation of the staff report.

Chairperson Ortiz opened the public hearing. Applicant Terry David asked for an exception to the requirement to put utilities underground. Based on conversations he has had with PG&E, it appears that underground work would compromise the safety of the gas line, but he must apply for a review and formal letter certifying the situation. Commissioners expressed concern about making a determination without a letter. Community Development Director Rich Grunow noted that staff can include a conditional exception pending receipt of a letter from PG&E.

The public hearing was closed.

Commissioner Routh asked if the applicant could make provisions in the foundation for undergrounding should it be possible.

The commissioners also discussed the possibility that placing the utilities underground may be possible, but at a much higher cost than other property owners have incurred. They agreed that they did not wish to impose a greater financial burden on this applicant and would entertain a variance should that turn out to be the case.

Commissioner Graves noted that he has opposed variations of this project in the past because the front of the home faces Plum and improvements should require the removal of the high fence in what is effectively the front yard.

**A motion to approve project application #14-020 for a Design Permit and Coastal Development Permit with the following conditions and findings was made by Commissioner Routh and seconded by Commissioner Welch:**

**CONDITIONS**

1. The project approval consists of construction of a 510 square-foot detached garage. The proposed project is approved as indicated on the final plans reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on March 6, 2014, except as modified through conditions imposed by the Planning Commission during the hearing.
2. Prior to construction, a building permit shall be secured for any new construction or modifications to structures authorized by this permit. Final building plans shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Planning Commission. All construction and site improvements shall be completed according to the approved plans.
3. At time of submittal for building permit review, the Conditions of Approval must be printed in full on the cover sheet of the construction plans.
4. At time of submittal for building permit review, Public Works Standard Detail SMP STRM shall be printed in full and incorporated as a sheet into the construction plans. All construction shall be done in accordance with the Public Works Standard Detail BMP STRM.
5. The applicant is required to underground the utilities unless there are findings from PG&E documenting that it is infeasible. The applicant also has the option to return to the Planning Commission for review of a variance based on financial hardship caused by specific attributes of infrastructure on the lot.
6. Prior to making any changes to approved plans, modifications must be specifically requested and submitted in writing to the Community Development Department. Any significant changes to the size or exterior appearance of the structure shall require Planning Commission approval.
7. The existing front and side yard landscaping shall be retained. If the landscaping is removed, the applicant shall submit a landscape plan to the Community Development Department for approval. The landscape plan will include the specific number of plants of each type and their size, as well as the irrigation system to be utilized. The new yard landscaping will be required to be installed prior to final building occupancy.
8. Prior to issuance of building permit, all Planning fees associated with permit #­14-020 shall be paid in full.
9. Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant must provide documentation of plan approval by the following entities: Santa Cruz County Sanitation Department, Soquel Creek Water District, and Central Fire Protection District.
10. Prior to issuance of building permits, a drainage plan, grading, sediment and erosion control plan, shall be submitted to the City and approved by Public Works. The plans shall be in compliance with the requirements specified in Capitola Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 Storm Water Pollution Prevention and Protection.
11. Prior to issuance of building permits, the applicant shall submit a stormwater management plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works which implements all applicable Post Construction Requirements (PCRs) and Public Works Standard Details, including all standards relating to low impact development (LID).
12. Prior to issuance of building permits, the garage must comply with the firewall standards of the IBC.
13. Prior to any land disturbance, a pre-site inspection must be conducted by the grading official to verify compliance with the approved erosion and sediment control plan.
14. Prior to any work in the City road right of way, an encroachment permit shall be acquired by the contractor performing the work. No material or equipment storage may be placed in the road right-of-way.
15. During construction, any construction activity shall be subject to a construction noise curfew, except when otherwise specified in the building permit issued by the City. Construction noise shall be prohibited between the hours of 9 p.m. and 7:30 a.m. on weekdays. Construction noise shall be prohibited on weekends with the exception of Saturday work between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. or emergency work approved by the building official. §9.12.010B
16. Prior to a project final, all cracked or broken driveway approaches, curb, gutter, or sidewalk shall be replaced per the Public Works Standard Details and to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department. All replaced driveway approaches, curb, gutter or sidewalk shall meet current Accessibility Standards.

1. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, compliance with all conditions of approval shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director. Upon evidence of non-compliance with conditions of approval or applicable municipal code provisions, the applicant shall remedy the non-compliance to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director or shall file an application for a permit amendment for Planning Commission consideration. Failure to remedy a non-compliance in a timely manner may result in permit revocation.
2. This permit shall expire 24 months from the date of issuance. The applicant shall have an approved building permit and construction underway before this date to prevent permit expiration. Applications for extension may be submitted by the applicant prior to expiration pursuant to Municipal Code section 17.81.160.
3. The planning and infrastructure review and approval are transferable with the title to the underlying property so that an approved project may be conveyed or assigned by the applicant to others without losing the approval. The permit cannot be transferred off the site on which the approval was granted.
4. Upon receipt of certificate of occupancy, garbage and recycling containers shall be placed out of public view on non-collection days.

**The motion carried by the following vote: Aye: Commissioners Routh, Smith, and Welch and Chairperson Ortiz. No: Graves. Abstain: None.**

**F.** **2001 40th Avenue      #14-029      APN: 034-512-02**

Conditional Use Permit for a Pure Barre Capitola Fitness Studio in the CC (Community Commercial) Zoning District.
Environmental Determination: Categorical Exemption
Property Owner: Lockwood Epping Properties
Representative: Ashley Weaver, filed 02/14/2014

**A motion to approve project application #14-029 for a Conditional Use Permit with the following conditions and findings was made by Commissioner Graves and seconded by Commissioner Smith:**

### CONDITIONS

1. The project approval consists of a Conditional Use Permit to operate a fitness studio (specialized school) within an existing commercial space located at 2001 40th Avenue. No modifications to the exterior of the structure are proposed within the application. Any significant modifications to the size or exterior appearance of the existing design require approval of a Design Permit by the Planning Commission.
2. Parking for the proposed fitness studio must be accommodated within the onsite parking.
3. The reception area, locker room, office, and bathroom are located against the west internal wall adjacent to the existing salon. The amplified sound will be within the studio which is adjacent to multiuse buildings common area, bathrooms, and storage. This layout must be maintained within future construction documents to mitigate impacts of noise on adjacent businesses.
4. Sound proofing must be installed as proposed within the submittal documents. Specifically, all demising walls will be constructed with 6 inch metal studs extending tight to the overhead structure. Both sides of the demising walls shall have QuiteRock 545 gypsum wall boards (or equivalent) extending to the overhead structure. All demising walls will have 2 Thermafiber Sound Zero insulation (or equivalent) extending to underside of the structure.
5. Prior to installation of a sign, the applicant shall obtain approval for a Sign Permit through the Community Development Department.
6. The applicant shall obtain a business license from the City of Capitola prior to operating the business.
7. Prior to granting of final occupancy, compliance with all conditions of approval shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director.
8. The application shall be reviewed by the Planning Commission upon evidence of non-compliance with conditions of approval or applicable municipal code provisions.
9. The conditional use permit will expire in the case where the conditional [use](http://www.codepublishing.com/ca/capitola/cgi/defs.pl?def=17.03.690) permit has not been used within two years after the date of granting thereof. Any interruption or cessation beyond the control of the property owner shall not result in the termination of such right or privilege. A permit shall be deemed to have been “used” when actual substantial, continuous activity has taken place upon the land pursuant to the permit.

**FINDINGS**

1. **The application, subject to the conditions imposed, will secure the purposes of the Zoning Ordinance and General Plan.**

Community Development Department Staff and the Planning Commission have reviewed the application and determined that the proposed business may be granted a conditional use permit within the CC Zoning District. The use meets the intent and purpose of the Community Commercial Zoning District. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure that the use is consistent with the Zoning Ordinance and General Plan.

1. **The application will maintain the character and integrity of the neighborhood.**

Community Development Department Staff and the Planning Commission have reviewed the proposed use and determined that the use complies with the applicable provisions of the Zoning Ordinance and therefore maintain the character and integrity of this area of the City. Conditions of approval have been included to carry out these objectives.

**C. This project is categorically exempt under Section 15301 of the California Environmental Quality Act and is not subject to Section 753.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.**

The proposed project involves a fitness studio use occupying an existing commercial space formerly occupied by an office business. No adverse environmental impacts were discovered during project review by either the Planning Department Staff or the Planning Commission.

**The motion carried by the following vote: Aye: Commissioners Graves, Smith, and Welch and Chairperson Ortiz. No: None. Abstain: None.**

**5.** **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

**A.** **110 Lawn Way      #14-006      APN: 035-124-05**

Design Permit, Variance, and Coastal Development Permit application for an addition to a single-family home in the CV (Central Village) Zoning District.  The applicant is requesting a variance for onsite parking.
This project requires a Coastal Development Permit which is appealable to the California Coastal Commission after all possible appeals are exhausted through the City.
Environmental Determination: Categorical Exemption
Property Owner: Norma Kettman
Representative: Gary Lindeke, filed 1/24/2014

Senior Planner Cattan presented the staff report. She noted that staff identified five findings for unique circumstances that would not create a precedent for other properties in the Historic District. The presentation highlighted changes made to the project to better complement the overall district design. She also outlined reasons to support a variance for onsite parking, which does not increase the non-conformity.

Chairperson Ortiz opened the public hearing. Gary Lindeke spoke on behalf of applicant. He said they worked to complement district massing and scale in the revised proposal. He noted the current structure was required by the City and this application represents a good compromise between the earlier proposal and district guidelines.

The public hearing was closed.

Commissioner Smith said she spoke with historian Carolyn Swift, who expressed pleasure in the change of the roof pitch.

Commissioner Routh suggested repair to any damage to the lawn be added to condition #14.

Chairperson Ortiz said that while she initially had concerns about the project, she feels the applicant has made significant changes. The fact that the home is a non-contributing structure in the district is also in its favor. She also asked Commissioners to consider formally exempting properties in the Lawn Way district from parking requirements as the zoning code is updated, which received general support.

**A motion to approve project application #14-006 and grant a Design Permit, Variance, and Coastal Development Permit with the following conditions and findings was made by Commissioner Welch and seconded by Commissioner Graves:**

**CONDITIONS**

1. The project approval consists of construction of a 470 square-foot half-story addition. There is no maximum Floor Area Ratio within the CV zoning district. The proposed project is approved as indicated on the final plans reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on March 6, 2014, except as modified through conditions imposed by the Planning Commission during the hearing.
2. Prior to construction, a building permit shall be secured for any new construction or modifications to structures authorized by this permit. Final building plans shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Planning Commission. All construction and site improvements shall be completed according to the approved plans
3. At time of submittal for building permit review, the Conditions of Approval must be printed in full on the cover sheet of the construction plans.
4. At time of submittal for building permit review, Public Works Standard Detail SMP STRM shall be printed in full and incorporated as a sheet into the construction plans. All construction shall be done in accordance with the Public Works Standard Detail BMP STRM.
5. Prior to making any changes to approved plans, modifications must be specifically requested and submitted in writing to the Community Development Department. Any significant changes to the size or exterior appearance of the structure shall require Planning Commission approval.
6. All exterior materials shall be installed according to the approved set of plans, including: true divided light wood-clad windows, a wood 9 light front door, wood French doors and wood railing on the second story, hardi horizontal lap siding over existing concrete, and hardi shingle accents in gable ends and on chimney. Windows and doors shall have 6” wide trim.
7. Prior to issuance of building permit, all Planning fees associated with permit #­14-006 shall be paid in full.
8. Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant must provide documentation of plan approval by the following entities: Santa Cruz County Sanitation Department, Soquel Water District, and Central Fire Protection District.
9. Prior to issuance of building permits, a drainage plan, grading, sediment and erosion control plan, shall be submitted to the City and approved by Public Works. The plans shall be in compliance with the requirements specified in Capitola Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 Storm Water Pollution Prevention and Protection.
10. Prior to issuance of building permits, the applicant shall submit a stormwater management plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works which implements all applicable Post Construction Requirements (PCRs) and Public Works Standard Details, including all standards relating to low impact development (LID).
11. Prior to any land disturbance, a pre-site inspection must be conducted by the grading official to verify compliance with the approved erosion and sediment control plan.
12. Prior to any work in the City road right of way, an encroachment permit shall be acquired by the contractor performing the work. No material or equipment storage may be placed in the road right-of-way.
13. During construction, any construction activity shall be subject to a construction noise curfew, except when otherwise specified in the building permit issued by the City. Construction noise shall be prohibited between the hours of nine p.m. and seven-thirty a.m. on weekdays. Construction noise shall be prohibited on weekends with the exception of Saturday work between nine a.m. and four p.m. or emergency work approved by the building official. §9.12.010B
14. Prior to a project final, all cracked, damaged or broken driveway approaches, curb, gutter, ~~or~~ sidewalk, or lawn shall be replaced per the Public Works Standard Details and to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department. All replaced driveway approaches, curb, gutter or sidewalk shall meet current Accessibility Standards.
15. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, compliance with all conditions of approval shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director. Upon evidence of non-compliance with conditions of approval or applicable municipal code provisions, the applicant shall remedy the non-compliance to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director or shall file an application for a permit amendment for Planning Commission consideration. Failure to remedy a non-compliance in a timely manner may result in permit revocation.
16. This permit shall expire 24 months from the date of issuance. The applicant shall have an approved building permit and construction underway before this date to prevent permit expiration. Applications for extension may be submitted by the applicant prior to expiration pursuant to Municipal Code section 17.81.160.
17. The planning and infrastructure review and approval are transferable with the title to the underlying property so that an approved project may be conveyed or assigned by the applicant to others without losing the approval. The permit cannot be transferred off the site on which the approval was granted.
18. Upon receipt of certificate of occupancy, garbage and recycling containers shall be placed out of public view on non-collection days.

**FINDINGS**

**A. The application, subject to the conditions imposed, will secure the purposes of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan, and Local Coastal Plan.**

 Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the addition to the single family home. The project secures the purpose statement of the CV (Central Village) Zoning Districts. A Variance has been granted by the Planning Commission to carry out the objectives of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan and Local Coastal Plan.

**B. The application will maintain the character and integrity of the neighborhood.**

Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the addition to the single-family home. The existing home was constructed in 1964 of concrete block, aluminum windows, and a flat roof with a concrete block parapet and iron railing. The design and materials of the home are not representative of or in harmony with the Lawn Way/Six Sisters Historic District. The proposed design would enhance the home’s architectural appearance and be more compatible with other residences in the district.

The project received a variance to required onsite parking to allow a pitched roof element with increased habitable space. The increased habitable space does not increase the non-conforming parking of the site. The existing home requires 2 uncovered parking spaces. The existing home with the new addition would also require 2 uncovered parking spaces. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure that the project maintains the character and integrity of the neighborhood. The proposed addition to the single-family residence complements the existing homes in the district in use, mass and scale, materials, height, and architecture.

**C. This project is categorically exempt under Section 15301(e) of the California Environmental Quality Act and is not subject to Section 753.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.**

Section 15301(e) of the CEQA Guidelines exempts additions to existing structures provided that the addition in under 10,000 square feet and not located in an environmentally sensitive area. This project involves a remodel to an existing home located in the CV (Central Village) zoning district. No adverse environmental impacts were discovered during review of the proposed project.

**COASTAL FINDINGS**

***D. Findings Required. A coastal permit shall be granted only upon adoption of specific written factual findings supporting the conclusion that the proposed development conforms to the certified Local Coastal Program, including, but not limited to:***

* The proposed development conforms to the City’s certified Local Coastal Plan (LCP). The specific, factual findings, as per CMC Section 17.46.090 (D) are as follows:

***(D) (2) Require Project-Specific Findings. In determining any requirement for public access, including the type of access and character of use, the city shall evaluate and document in written findings the factors identified in subsections (D) (2) (a) through (e), to the extent applicable. The findings shall explain the basis for the conclusions and decisions of the city and shall be supported by substantial evidence in the record. If an access dedication is required as a condition of approval, the findings shall explain how the adverse effects which have been identified will be alleviated or mitigated by the dedication. As used in this section, “cumulative effect” means the effect of the individual project in combination with the effects of past projects, other current projects, and probable future projects, including development allowed under applicable planning and zoning.***

***(D) (2) (a) Project Effects on Demand for Access and Recreation. Identification of existing and open public access and coastal recreation areas and facilities in the regional and local vicinity of the development. Analysis of the project’s effects upon existing public access and recreation opportunities. Analysis of the project’s cumulative effects upon the use and capacity of the identified access and recreation opportunities, including public tidelands and beach resources, and upon the capacity of major coastal roads from subdivision, intensification or cumulative build-out. Projection for the anticipated demand and need for increased coastal access and recreation opportunities for the public. Analysis of the contribution of the project’s cumulative effects to any such projected increase. Description of the physical characteristics of the site and its proximity to the sea, tideland viewing points, upland recreation areas, and trail linkages to tidelands or recreation areas. Analysis of the importance and potential of the site, because of its location or other characteristics, for creating, preserving or enhancing public access to tidelands or public recreation opportunities;***

* The proposed project is located at 110 Lawn Way. The home is not located in an area with coastal access. The home will not have an effect on public trails or beach access.

***(D) (2) (b) Shoreline Processes. Description of the existing shoreline conditions, including beach profile, accessibility and usability of the beach, history of erosion or accretion, character and sources of sand, wave and sand movement, presence of shoreline protective structures, location of the line of mean high tide during the season when the beach is at its narrowest (generally during the late winter) and the proximity of that line to existing structures, and any other factors which substantially characterize or affect the shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes and beach profile unrelated to the proposed development. Description and analysis of any reasonably likely changes, attributable to the primary and cumulative effects of the project, to: wave and sand movement affecting beaches in the vicinity of the project; the profile of the beach; the character, extent, accessibility and usability of the beach; and any other factors which characterize or affect beaches in the vicinity. Analysis of the effect of any identified changes of the project, alone or in combination with other anticipated changes, will have upon the ability of the public to use public tidelands and shoreline recreation areas;***

* The proposed project is located along Lawn Way. No portion of the project is located along the shoreline or beach.

***(D) (2) (c) Historic Public Use. Evidence of use of the site by members of the general public for a continuous five-year period (such use may be seasonal). Evidence of the type and character of use made by the public (vertical, lateral, blufftop, etc., and for passive and/or active recreational use, etc.). Identification of any agency (or person) who has maintained and/or improved the area subject to historic public use and the nature of the maintenance performed and improvements made. Identification of the record owner of the area historically used by the public and any attempts by the owner to prohibit public use of the area, including the success or failure of those attempts. Description of the potential for adverse impact on public use of the area from the proposed development (including but not limited to, creation of physical or psychological impediments to public use);***

* There is no history of public use on the subject lot.
1. ***(2) (d) Physical Obstructions. Description of any physical aspects of the development which block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or other public coastal resources or to see the shoreline;***
* The proposed project is located on private property on Lawn Way. The project will not block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or views to the shoreline.

 ***(D) (2) (e) Other Adverse Impacts on Access and Recreation. Description of the development’s physical proximity and relationship to the shoreline and any public recreation area. Analysis of the extent of which buildings, walls, signs, streets or other aspects of the development, individually or cumulatively, are likely to diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation. Description of any alteration of the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas, and of any diminution of the quality or amount of recreational use of public lands which may be attributable to the individual or cumulative effects of the development.***

* The proposed project is located on private property that will not impact access and recreation. The project does not diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation nor alter the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas.

 ***(D) (3) (a – c) Required Findings for Public Access Exceptions. Any determination that one of the exceptions of subsection (F) (2) applies to a development shall be supported by written findings of fact, analysis and conclusions which address all of the following:***

***a. The type of access potentially applicable to the site involved (vertical, lateral, bluff top, etc.) and its location in relation to the fragile coastal resource to be protected, the agricultural use, the public safety concern, or the military facility which is the basis for the exception, as applicable;***

***b. Unavailability of any mitigating measures to manage the type, character, intensity, hours, season or location of such use so that agricultural resources, fragile coastal resources, public safety, or military security, as applicable, are protected;***

***c. Ability of the public, through another reasonable means, to reach the same area of public tidelands as would be made accessible by an access way on the subject land.***

* The project is not requesting a Public Access Exception, therefore these findings do not apply

***(D) (4) (a – f) Findings for Management Plan Conditions. Written findings in support of a condition requiring a management plan for regulating the time and manner or character of public access use must address the following factors, as applicable:***

***a. Identification and protection of specific habitat values including the reasons supporting the conclusions that such values must be protected by limiting the hours, seasons, or character of public use;***

* The project is located in a residential area without sensitive habitat areas.

 ***b. Topographic constraints of the development site;***

* The project is located on a flat lot.

 ***c. Recreational needs of the public;***

* The project does not impact recreational needs of the public.

 ***d. Rights of privacy of the landowner which could not be mitigated by setting the project back from the access way or otherwise conditioning the development;***

***e. The requirements of the possible accepting agency, if an offer of dedication is the mechanism for securing public access;***

***f. Feasibility of adequate setbacks, fencing, landscaping, and other methods as part of a management plan to regulate public use.***

***(D) (5) Project complies with public access requirements, including submittal of appropriate legal documents to ensure the right of public access whenever, and as, required by the certified land use plan and Section 17.46.010 (coastal access requirements);***

* No legal documents to ensure public access rights are required for the proposed project

***(D) (6) Project complies with visitor-serving and recreational use policies;***

 *SEC. 30222*

 *The use of private lands suitable for visitor-serving commercial recreational facilities designed to enhance public opportunities for coastal recreation shall have priority over private residential, general industrial, or general commercial development, but not over agriculture or coastal-dependent industry.*

* The project involves a single-family home on a residential lot of record.

 *SEC. 30223*

 *Upland areas necessary to support coastal recreational uses shall be reserved for such uses, where feasible.*

* The project involves a single-family home on a residential lot of record.

***c) Visitor-serving facilities that cannot be feasibly located in existing developed areas shall be located in existing isolated developments or at selected points of attraction for visitors.***

* The project involves a single-family home on a residential lot of record.

 ***(D) (7) Project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision of public and private parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements;***

* The project involves the construction of a single-family home. The project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision for parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements.

***(D) (8) Review of project design, site plan, signing, lighting, landscaping, etc., by the city’s architectural and site review committee, and compliance with adopted design guidelines and standards, and review committee recommendations;***

* The project complies with the design guidelines and standards established by the Municipal Code.

***(D) (9) Project complies with LCP policies regarding protection of public landmarks, protection or provision of public views; and shall not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline;***

* The project will not negatively impact public landmarks and/or public views. The project will not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline.

***(D) (10) Demonstrated availability and adequacy of water and sewer services;***

* The project is located on a legal lot of record with available water and sewer services.

***(D) (11) Provisions of minimum water flow rates and fire response times;***

* The project is located within close proximity of the Central Fire Protection District. Water is available at the location.

 *(D) (12) Project complies with water and energy conservation standards;*

* The project is for a single-family home. The GHG emissions for the project are projected at less than significant impact. All water fixtures must comply with the low-flow standards of the Soquel Creek Water District.

***(D) (13) Provision of park dedication, school impact, and other fees as may be required;***

* The project will be required to pay appropriate fees prior to building permit issuance.

***(D) (14) Project complies with coastal housing policies, and applicable ordinances including condominium conversion and mobile home ordinances;***

* The project does not involve a condo conversion or mobile homes.

***(D) (15) Project complies with natural resource, habitat, and archaeological protection policies;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with established policies.

***(D) (16) Project complies with Monarch butterfly habitat protection policies;***

* The project is outside of any identified sensitive habitats, specifically areas where Monarch Butterflies have been encountered, identified and documented.

***(D) (17) Project provides drainage and erosion and control measures to protect marine, stream, and wetland water quality from urban runoff and erosion;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with applicable erosion control measures.

***(D) (18) Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professional for projects in seismic areas, geologically unstable areas, or coastal bluffs, and project complies with hazard protection policies including provision of appropriate setbacks and mitigation measures;***

* Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professionals for this project. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project applicant shall comply with all applicable requirements of the most recent version of the California Building Standards Code.

***(D) (19) All other geological, flood and fire hazards are accounted for and mitigated in the project design;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project complies with geological, flood, and fire hazards and are accounted for and will be mitigated in the project design.

***(D) (20) Project complies with shoreline structure policies;***

* The proposed project is not located along a shoreline.

***(D) (21) The uses proposed are consistent with the permitted or conditional uses of the zoning district in which the project is located;***

* This use is an allowed use consistent with the Central Village zoning district.

***(D) (22) Conformance to requirements of all other city ordinances, zoning requirements, and project review procedures;***

* The project conforms to the requirements of all city ordinances, zoning requirements and project development review and development procedures.

***(D) (23) Project complies with the Capitola parking permit program as follows:***

The use will remain as a single-family home and will not intensify the use of the site. The project does not result in additional parking demand. The property will continue to participate in the village parking permit program.

**The motion carried by the following vote: Aye: Commissioners Graves, Routh, Smith, and Welch and Chairperson Ortiz. No: None. Abstain: None.**

**B.** **1740 Wharf Road      #14-016      APN: 035-111-14**

Design Permit, Variance, Coastal Development Permit, and Tree Removal Permit for a new single-family residence in the R-1/AR (Single Family/Automatic Review) Zoning District. The applicant is requesting a variance to the side-yard setback requirement.
This project requires a Coastal Development Permit which is appealable to the California Coastal Commission after all possible appeals are exhausted through the City.
Environmental Determination: Categorical Exemption
Owner: Bruce Golino
Representative: Courtney Hughes, William Fisher Architecture, filed 02/03/2014

Commissioners Graves, Ortiz and Smith would be required to recuse themselves because they own property in proximity to the project, which would leave the Commission without a quorum. The Commission invoked the Rule of Necessity. Commissioner Smith selected the short straw, allowing her to participate in the hearing.

Senior Planner Cattan presented the staff report. As previously suggested by the Commission, this application seeks a variance from the sideyard setback requirement to give more distance between the Shadowbrook trolley and the proposed home. Also in response to previous concerns, a window was eliminated and an engineer provided a letter detailing the safety of shoring the property and soils.

Vice Chairperson Smith opened public hearing.

Bill Fisher represented the applicant and thanked the commission for the opportunity shift the home and reapply. Commissioner Routh asked about the proposed green roof, noting others in the City have developed problems. Mr. Fisher noted was selected for visual appeal and will use container trays rather than plant directly in soil on the roof.

Commissioner Routh also checked on the height of the coffeeberry plants proposed between Shadowbrook at planting and maturity.

Resident Bruce Arthur noted the property is for sale and the project may or may not be built. He asked that a condition be added to maintain plants on the green roof. He also noted that Shadowbrook patrons can get a little loud and residents of the home will hear noise.

Commissioner Routh said he planned to propose a condition requiring that the owner disclose the noise potential when the property is sold.

Staff noted receipt of a letter from Ted Burke, business owner of the Shadowbrook, supporting the application and reiterating requests for a construction management plan and landscaping screening.

Vice Chair Smith closed the public hearing.

Commission Routh noted he appreciated the applicant’s willingness to move the planned house. He suggested adding conditions about both noise disclosure and required maintenance of the green roof. Community Development Director Rich Grunow noted staff can draft these, but expressed skepticism about their validity. A disclosure does not prevent future complaints and the City may not have any more jurisdiction over roof plantings with a Design Permit than maintaining a lawn or paint.

Commissioner Routh also asked that weekday construction hours end at 6 p.m.

Commissioner Welch noted he supported the project as originally submitted and commended the applicant for making changes to compromise.

Vice Chair Smith asked for a condition requiring that the Public Works director review a project management plan prior to the project’s start.

**A motion to approve project application #14-016 for a Design Permit, Variance, Coastal Development Permit, and Tree Removal Permit** **with the following conditions and findings was made by Commissioner Routh and seconded by Commissioner Welch:**

### CONDITIONS

1. The project approval consists of construction of a 3,717square-foot new single family home. The maximum Floor Area Ratio for the 8,860 square foot property is 48% (4,252 square feet). The total FAR of the project is 44% with a total of 3,717 square feet, compliant with the maximum FAR within the zone. The proposed project is approved as indicated on the final plans reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on January 16, 2014, except as modified through conditions imposed by the Planning Commission during the hearing.
2. Prior to construction, a building permit shall be secured for any new construction or modifications to structures authorized by this permit. Final building plans shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Planning Commission. All construction and site improvements shall be completed according to the approved plans
3. At time of submittal for building permit review, the Conditions of Approval must be printed in full on the cover sheet of the construction plans.
4. At time of submittal for building permit review, Public Works Standard Detail SMP STRM shall be printed in full and incorporated as a sheet into the construction plans. All construction shall be done in accordance with the Public Works Standard Detail BMP STRM.
5. Prior to making any changes to approved plans, modifications must be specifically requested and submitted in writing to the Community Development Department. Any significant changes shall require Planning Commission approval.
6. Prior to issuance of building permit, a final landscape plan shall be submitted and approved by the Community Development Department. Landscape plans shall reflect the Planning Commission approval and shall identify type, size, and location of species and details of irrigation systems.
7. Prior to issuance of building permit, all Planning fees associated with permit ~~#­13-169~~ #14-016 shall be paid in full.
8. Prior to issuance of building permit, Affordable housing in-lieu fees shall be paid as required to assure compliance with the City of Capitola Affordable (Inclusionary) Housing Ordinance.
9. Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant must provide documentation of plan approval by the following entities: Santa Cruz County Sanitation Department, Soquel Creek Water District, and Central Fire Protection District.
10. Prior to issuance of building permits, a drainage plan, grading, sediment and erosion control plan, shall be submitted to the City and approved by Public Works. The plans shall be in compliance with the requirements specified in Capitola Municipal Code Chapter 13.16 Storm Water Pollution Prevention and Protection.
11. Prior to issuance of building permits, the applicant shall submit a stormwater management plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works which implements all applicable Post Construction Requirements (PCRs) and Public Works Standard Details, including all standards relating to low impact development (LID).
12. Prior to any land disturbance, a pre-site inspection must be conducted by the grading official to verify compliance with the approved erosion and sediment control plan.
13. To avoid the potential for accelerated erosion and sedimentation of the habitat area during the construction phase, all land alteration and construction activities should occur during the non-rainy season of April 15 – October 15.
14. To avoid sedimentation of habitat area during construction, the owner/contractor shall install a silt fence barrier at the eastern edge of the construction zone (development envelope) to capture any material (e.g. dislodged soil, construction debris) that is discharged down the slope. The silt fence shall be installed according to best management practices, including embedding the bottom of the silt fence in native soil, at least, 6 inches. The owner/contractor shall clean debris from the upslope side of the silt fence each day debris is collected. The silt fence shall be maintained in good operable condition during the entire construction phase of the project.
15. To avoid the potential for accelerated erosion and sedimentation of the habitat area during the post-construction phase, a licensed civil engineer shall prepare a storm water drainage plan that collects all storm runoff and conveys it in a manner that will not disturb the stability of the slope at the eastern 60% of the parcel. If the civil engineer determines collected runoff must be conveyed in a pipe that discharges at the bottom of the slope, the pipe(s) shall be located above ground to minimize site disturbance and facilitate maintenance. The pipe(s) shall be effectively anchored to prevent movement.
16. Prior to any work in the City road right of way, an encroachment permit shall be acquired by the contractor performing the work. No material or equipment storage may be placed in the road right-of-way.
17. During construction, any construction activity shall be subject to a construction noise curfew, except when otherwise specified in the building permit issued by the City. Construction noise shall be prohibited between the hours of ~~nine~~ 6 p.m. and 7:30 a.m. on weekdays. Construction noise shall be prohibited on weekends with the exception of Saturday work between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. or emergency work approved by the building official. §9.12.010B
18. Prior to a project final, all cracked or broken driveway approaches, curb, gutter, or sidewalk shall be replaced per the Public Works Standard Details and to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department. All replaced driveway approaches, curb, gutter or sidewalk shall meet current Accessibility Standards.
19. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the applicant shall demonstrate compliance with the tree removal permit authorized by this permit for 2 trees to be removed from the property. Replacement trees shall be planted at a 2:1 ratio. Required replacement trees shall be 24’” box and shall be planted as shown on the approved plans.

1. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, compliance with all conditions of approval shall be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director. Upon evidence of non-compliance with conditions of approval or applicable municipal code provisions, the applicant shall remedy the non-compliance to the satisfaction of the Community Development Director or shall file an application for a permit amendment for Planning Commission consideration. Failure to remedy a non-compliance in a timely manner may result in permit revocation.
2. This permit shall expire 24 months from the date of issuance. The applicant shall have an approved building permit and construction underway before this date to prevent permit expiration. Applications for extension may be submitted by the applicant prior to expiration pursuant to Municipal Code section 17.81.160.
3. The planning and infrastructure review and approval are transferable with the title to the underlying property so that an approved project may be conveyed or assigned by the applicant to others without losing the approval. The permit cannot be transferred off the site on which the approval was granted.
4. Upon receipt of certificate of occupancy, garbage and recycling containers shall be placed out of public view on non-collection days.
5. A management plan is required to maintain street access along Wharf Road during construction. The management plan must be approved by the Public Works Director.
6. All vegetation on the green roof must be maintained in a healthy state.
7. The new home is located adjacent to the Visitor Serving zoning district.  There is an existing restaurant with an operating trolley located on the adjacent property.  The trolley and restaurant are established uses, both of which generate noise which is audible to residents within the neighborhood.  Prior to the sale of the new home or property, the owner of the property must disclose the potentially significant noise impacts of the adjacent use to all prospective buyers.

**FINDINGS**

1. **The application, subject to the conditions imposed, will secure the purposes of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan, and Local Coastal Plan.**

 Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the project. The project secures the purposes of the R-1 (Single Family Residence) Zoning District, the AR (Automatic Review) Zoning Districts, and the Soquel Creek Riparian Riparian Corridor. A Variance for the side yard setback has been granted by the Planning Commission to carry out the objectives of the Zoning Ordinance, General Plan and Local Coastal Plan.

1. **The application will maintain the character and integrity of the neighborhood.**

 Community Development Department Staff, the Architectural and Site Review Committee, and the Planning Commission have all reviewed the project. The project is located adjacent to the Shadowbrook Restaurant with the cable car one foot off the north property line. The Shadowbrook Cable Car is a local landmark. The project received a variance to the required side yard setback to protect the local landmark on the adjacent property. The applicant also acknowledged the noise that exists from the trolley and restaurant which is audible to residents within the neighborhood. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure that the project maintains the character and integrity of the neighborhood and allows the continued operation of the adjacent restaurant. The proposed single-family residence complements the existing mix of single-family and commercial in the neighborhood in use, mass and scale, materials, height, and architecture. The home has been designed to not impact the riparian corridor of the Soquel Creek.

1. **This project is categorically exempt under Section 15303(a) of the California Environmental Quality Act and is not subject to Section 753.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations.**

This project involves construction of a new single-family residence in the RM-M (multi-family residence) Zoning District. Section 15303 of the CEQA Guidelines exempts the construction of a single-family residence in a residential zone.

**COASTAL FINDINGS**

***D. Findings Required. A coastal permit shall be granted only upon adoption of specific written factual findings supporting the conclusion that the proposed development conforms to the certified Local Coastal Program, including, but not limited to:***

* The proposed development conforms to the City’s certified Local Coastal Plan (LCP). The specific, factual findings, as per CMC Section 17.46.090 (D) are as follows:

***(D) (2) Require Project-Specific Findings. In determining any requirement for public access, including the type of access and character of use, the city shall evaluate and document in written findings the factors identified in subsections (D) (2) (a) through (e), to the extent applicable. The findings shall explain the basis for the conclusions and decisions of the city and shall be supported by substantial evidence in the record. If an access dedication is required as a condition of approval, the findings shall explain how the adverse effects which have been identified will be alleviated or mitigated by the dedication. As used in this section, “cumulative effect” means the effect of the individual project in combination with the effects of past projects, other current projects, and probable future projects, including development allowed under applicable planning and zoning.***

***(D) (2) (a) Project Effects on Demand for Access and Recreation. Identification of existing and open public access and coastal recreation areas and facilities in the regional and local vicinity of the development. Analysis of the project’s effects upon existing public access and recreation opportunities. Analysis of the project’s cumulative effects upon the use and capacity of the identified access and recreation opportunities, including public tidelands and beach resources, and upon the capacity of major coastal roads from subdivision, intensification or cumulative build-out. Projection for the anticipated demand and need for increased coastal access and recreation opportunities for the public. Analysis of the contribution of the project’s cumulative effects to any such projected increase. Description of the physical characteristics of the site and its proximity to the sea, tideland viewing points, upland recreation areas, and trail linkages to tidelands or recreation areas. Analysis of the importance and potential of the site, because of its location or other characteristics, for creating, preserving or enhancing public access to tidelands or public recreation opportunities;***

* The proposed project is located at ~~1730~~ 1740 Wharf Road. The rear property line is located along the Soquel Creek. There is an existing 10 foot wide pedestrian easement at the foot of the hill adjacent to the Soquel creek. More than half of the property is a scenic easement that cannot be built upon. No development is allowed within the scenic easement or the pedestrian easement. The new home will be located directly off Wharf Road. The project will not directly affect public access and coastal recreation areas as it involves a single family home located along the frontage of Wharf Road. The home will not have an effect on public trails or beach access.

***(D) (2) (b) Shoreline Processes. Description of the existing shoreline conditions, including beach profile, accessibility and usability of the beach, history of erosion or accretion, character and sources of sand, wave and sand movement, presence of shoreline protective structures, location of the line of mean high tide during the season when the beach is at its narrowest (generally during the late winter) and the proximity of that line to existing structures, and any other factors which substantially characterize or affect the shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes at the site. Identification of anticipated changes to shoreline processes and beach profile unrelated to the proposed development. Description and analysis of any reasonably likely changes, attributable to the primary and cumulative effects of the project, to: wave and sand movement affecting beaches in the vicinity of the project; the profile of the beach; the character, extent, accessibility and usability of the beach; and any other factors which characterize or affect beaches in the vicinity. Analysis of the effect of any identified changes of the project, alone or in combination with other anticipated changes, will have upon the ability of the public to use public tidelands and shoreline recreation areas;***

* The proposed project is located along Wharf Road. No portion of the project is located along the shoreline or beach.

***(D) (2) (c) Historic Public Use. Evidence of use of the site by members of the general public for a continuous five-year period (such use may be seasonal). Evidence of the type and character of use made by the public (vertical, lateral, blufftop, etc., and for passive and/or active recreational use, etc.). Identification of any agency (or person) who has maintained and/or improved the area subject to historic public use and the nature of the maintenance performed and improvements made. Identification of the record owner of the area historically used by the public and any attempts by the owner to prohibit public use of the area, including the success or failure of those attempts. Description of the potential for adverse impact on public use of the area from the proposed development (including but not limited to, creation of physical or psychological impediments to public use);***

* The privately owned site has a ten foot wide pedestrian easement along the rear property line located at the bottom of the hill along the Soquel Creek. This easement may be utilized by members of the public to walk along the creek. The development will not impact access to the pedestrian easement.
1. ***(2) (d) Physical Obstructions. Description of any physical aspects of the development which block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or other public coastal resources or to see the shoreline;***
* The proposed project is located on private property adjacent to Wharf Road. The project will not block or impede the ability of the public to get to or along the tidelands, public recreation areas, or views to the shoreline. The ten foot pedestrian easement along the rear property line will not be impacted by the new home.

 ***(D) (2) (e) Other Adverse Impacts on Access and Recreation. Description of the development’s physical proximity and relationship to the shoreline and any public recreation area. Analysis of the extent of which buildings, walls, signs, streets or other aspects of the development, individually or cumulatively, are likely to diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation. Description of any alteration of the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas, and of any diminution of the quality or amount of recreational use of public lands which may be attributable to the individual or cumulative effects of the development.***

* The proposed project is located on private property that will not impact access and recreation. There is a scenic easement that covers more than half the length of the lot. No development is allowed within the scenic easement. The project does not diminish the public’s use of tidelands or lands committed to public recreation nor alter the aesthetic, visual or recreational value of public use areas.

 ***(D) (3) (a – c) Required Findings for Public Access Exceptions. Any determination that one of the exceptions of subsection (F) (2) applies to a development shall be supported by written findings of fact, analysis and conclusions which address all of the following:***

***a. The type of access potentially applicable to the site involved (vertical, lateral, bluff top, etc.) and its location in relation to the fragile coastal resource to be protected, the agricultural use, the public safety concern, or the military facility which is the basis for the exception, as applicable;***

***b. Unavailability of any mitigating measures to manage the type, character, intensity, hours, season or location of such use so that agricultural resources, fragile coastal resources, public safety, or military security, as applicable, are protected;***

***c. Ability of the public, through another reasonable means, to reach the same area of public tidelands as would be made accessible by an access way on the subject land.***

* The project is not requesting a Public Access Exception, therefore these findings do not apply

***(D) (4) (a – f) Findings for Management Plan Conditions. Written findings in support of a condition requiring a management plan for regulating the time and manner or character of public access use must address the following factors, as applicable:***

***a. Identification and protection of specific habitat values including the reasons supporting the conclusions that such values must be protected by limiting the hours, seasons, or character of public use;***

* Several conditions have been included to protect the riparian habitat along the rear (downhill) portion of the lot. A riparian delineation was completed by a professional to locate the edge of the riparian habitat. The following conditions were added to ensure proper controls are in place during construction.
1. To conserve the riparian area for habitat purposes, the City of Capitola shall delineate a development envelope on the site to show where structural development and outdoor use area (yard) will be located as part of the Coastal Zone Permit process for site development. The development envelope shall be based on the riparian vegetation delineation and the City’s required 35 foot setback from the outer edge of the vegetation.
2. To avoid the potential for accelerated erosion and sedimentation of the habitat area during the construction phase, all land alteration and construction activities should occur during the non-rainy season of April 15 – October 15.
3. To avoid sedimentation of habitat area during construction, the owner/contractor shall install a silt fence barrier at the eastern edge of the construction zone (development envelope) to capture any material (e.g. dislodged soil, construction debris) that is discharged down the slope. The silt fence shall be installed according to best management practices, including embedding the bottom of the silt fence in native soil, at least, 6 inches. The owner/contractor shall clean debris from the upslope side of the silt fence each day debris is collected. The silt fence shall be maintained in good operable condition during the entire construction phase of the project.
4. To avoid the potential for accelerated erosion and sedimentation of the habitat area during the post-construction phase, a licensed civil engineer shall prepare a storm water drainage plan that collects all storm runoff and conveys it in a manner that will not disturb the stability of the slope at the eastern 60% of the parcel. If the civil engineer determines collected runoff must be conveyed in a pipe that discharges at the bottom of the slope, the pipe(s) shall be located above ground to minimize site disturbance and facilitate maintenance. The pipe(s) shall be effectively anchored to prevent movement.

 ***b. Topographic constraints of the development site;***

* #3 above states: To avoid the potential for accelerated erosion and sedimentation of the habitat area during the construction phase, all land alteration and construction activities should occur during the non-rainy season of April 15 – October 15.

 ***c. Recreational needs of the public;***

* Access to the pedestrian easement will not be impacted.

 ***d. Rights of privacy of the landowner which could not be mitigated by setting the project back from the access way or otherwise conditioning the development;***

***e. The requirements of the possible accepting agency, if an offer of dedication is the mechanism for securing public access;***

***f. Feasibility of adequate setbacks, fencing, landscaping, and other methods as part of a management plan to regulate public use.***

***(D) (5) Project complies with public access requirements, including submittal of appropriate legal documents to ensure the right of public access whenever, and as, required by the certified land use plan and Section 17.46.010 (coastal access requirements);***

* No legal documents to ensure public access rights are required for the proposed project

***(D) (6) Project complies with visitor-serving and recreational use policies;***

 *SEC. 30222*

 *The use of private lands suitable for visitor-serving commercial recreational facilities designed to enhance public opportunities for coastal recreation shall have priority over private residential, general industrial, or general commercial development, but not over agriculture or coastal-dependent industry.*

* The project involves a single family home on a residential lot of record.

 *SEC. 30223*

 *Upland areas necessary to support coastal recreational uses shall be reserved for such uses, where feasible*

* The project involves a single family home on a residential lot of record.

***c) Visitor-serving facilities that cannot be feasibly located in existing developed areas shall be located in existing isolated developments or at selected points of attraction for visitors.***

* The project involves a single family home on a residential lot of record.

 ***(D) (7) Project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision of public and private parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements;***

* The project involves the construction of a single family home. The project complies with applicable standards and requirements for provision for parking, pedestrian access, alternate means of transportation and/or traffic improvements.

***(D) (8) Review of project design, site plan, signing, lighting, landscaping, etc., by the city’s architectural and site review committee, and compliance with adopted design guidelines and standards, and review committee recommendations;***

* The project complies with the design guidelines and standards established by the Municipal Code.

***(D) (9) Project complies with LCP policies regarding protection of public landmarks, protection or provision of public views; and shall not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline;***

* The project will not result negatively impact public landmarks and/or public views. The project will not block or detract from public views to and along Capitola’s shoreline.

***(D) (10) Demonstrated availability and adequacy of water and sewer services;***

* The project is located on a legal lot of record with available water and sewer services.

***(D) (11) Provisions of minimum water flow rates and fire response times;***

* The project is located within a ½ mile of the Central Fire Protection District station. Water is available at the location

 *(D) (12) Project complies with water and energy conservation standards;*

* The project is for a single family home. The GHG emissions for the project are projected at less than significant impact. All water fixtures must comply with the low-flow standards of the soquel creek water district.

***(D) (13) Provision of park dedication, school impact, and other fees as may be required;***

* The project will be required to pay appropriate fees prior to building permit issuance.

***(D) (14) Project complies with coastal housing policies, and applicable ordinances including condominium conversion and mobile home ordinances;***

* The project does not involve a condo conversion or mobile homes.

***(D) (15) Project complies with natural resource, habitat, and archaeological protection policies;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with established policies.

***(D) (16) Project complies with Monarch butterfly habitat protection policies;***

* The project is outside of any identified sensitive habitats, specifically areas where Monarch Butterflies have been encountered, identified and documented.

***(D) (17) Project provides drainage and erosion and control measures to protect marine, stream, and wetland water quality from urban runoff and erosion;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure compliance with applicable erosion control measures.

***(D) (18) Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professional for projects in seismic areas, geologically unstable areas, or coastal bluffs, and project complies with hazard protection policies including provision of appropriate setbacks and mitigation measures;***

* Geologic/engineering reports have been prepared by qualified professionals for this project. Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project applicant shall comply with all applicable requirements of the most recent version of the California Building Standards Code.

***(D) (19) All other geological, flood and fire hazards are accounted for and mitigated in the project design;***

* Conditions of approval have been included to ensure the project complies with geological, flood, and fire hazards and are accounted for and will be mitigated in the project design.

***(D) (20) Project complies with shoreline structure policies;***

* The proposed project is not located along a shoreline.

***(D) (21) The uses proposed are consistent with the permitted or conditional uses of the zoning district in which the project is located;***

* This use is an allowed use consistent with the Single Family/Automatic Review zoning district.

***(D) (22) Conformance to requirements of all other city ordinances, zoning requirements, and project review procedures;***

* The project conforms to the requirements of all city ordinances, zoning requirements and project development review and development procedures.

***(D) (23) Project complies with the Capitola parking permit program as follows:***

* The project site is not located within the area of the Capitola parking permit program.

**The motion carried by the following vote: Aye: Commissioners Routh, Smith, and Welch. No: None. Abstain: None.**

**6. DIRECTOR’S REPORT - None**

**7. COMMISSION COMMUNICATIONS - None**

**8. ADJOURNMENT:**

Chairperson Ortiz adjourned the meeting at 8:05 p.m. to the regular meeting of the Planning Commission to be held on Thursday, April 3, 2014, at 7 p.m. in the City Hall Council Chambers, 420 Capitola Avenue, Capitola, California.

Approved by the Planning Commission on April 3, 2014.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Linda Fridy, Minute Clerk